The cost of IT & The value of IT MIS3534 | STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT OF IT

Marie-Christine Martin





- **1.** How do IT investments create value or enable creation?
- 2. What insights about IT value did you garner from Maggie's and Ruben's thoughts on the subject?
- 3. What are "legacy" systems, and what should be done about them?







You Cannot Manage What you Cannot Measure







- **IT payoff clock:** Sequential steps for IT to deliver financial benefits
- **Operational metrics:** Short-term metrics that judge IT impact
- Financial metrics: Long-term metrics that judge IT's bottom-line impact
- IT funding dilemma: Simple, accurate, or fair; pick any two
- 80/20 rule: 20% metrics provide 80% insight into IT's business value
- **Capital versus operating costs:** Upfront versus the larger ongoing costs of keeping IT assets running
- **Real options**: Flexibility without the obligation to do something in a project



#1 capital expense today

80% firms unable to answer basic, fair questions

- Are we getting our money's worth?
- How much does it cost and who foots the bill?
- Are we investing in the right places?
- How do we tread when the payoff is potentially huge but uncertain?
- Notoriously difficult to quantify ≠ excuse

Non-IT managers contribute fiscal sensibility

 Connects IT strategy to managerial accounting and corporate finance

Goal: A healthy return on IT investments

T Fox School of Business

IT Payoff Clock



1.Intangibility of benefits

- Increased customer satisfaction, faster decision-making, brand awareness, or improved collaboration inside
- Benefits only if they eventually show up in bottom-line

2.Lags in impact... rarely immediate

- Takes time for apps to be absorbed into operations
- IT infrastructure requires complementary IT investments to leverage it

3.Difficult to be sure that they *caused* **observed improvements**

- Challenge worsens with longer lags in the payoff clock
- Must track year-on-year changes and benchmark against archrivals

4.Complementarities

- One IT investment can be worth more in conjunction with another
 - e.g., Starbucks' iOS app more valuable with cash registers to can process app orders
- Requires tracking entire portfolio's impact, not individual projects

Measurement drives behavior

Must nudge IT to move your firm towards its strategic aspiration

Common problem: Too many metrics create dysfunction

Three criteria for finding the 20% in the 80/20 rule:

- **1.** Worth more than they cost
- 2. Competitively insightful: Linked to strategic aspiration, archrivals
- 3. Objective, spanning short and long term
 - Beyond satisfaction surveys
 - Reliably compare IT investments with each other, and year to year
 - The two "lags" in the payoff clock defines time frames

Total IT costs tricky to pin down because of two components:

- **1. Capital expenditures:** Costs of purchasing or building new IT systems
- 2. Operating costs: Ongoing cost of running IT assets (the lion's share of corporate IT costs)
 - e.g., salaries, support, licensing, maintenance, and training

Focus on total cost (TCO) of getting and running an IT asset over its lifetime

~ like buying a car: Upfront + maintenance costs



Will a Project be Worthwhile? Three Approaches...

1. Net present value (NPV): Project's benefits minus costs

- Intuitive, widely understood workhorse
- Uses NPV rule (+ /-)
- Three dangerous NPV traps
 - Costs underestimated 50-100% and benefits notoriously difficult to even guesstimate reliably
 - No place for uncertainty ← pervasive in IT projects
 - Cannot account for intangible costs and benefits
- 2. Hurdle rate rule (min ROR): Good intent, but firm can set it too high if benefits can't be quantified
- 3. Return on investment (ROI): Helps judge opportunity costs of doing a project

Downside: Focus on recouping costs but

unconnected to firm's strategic competitiveness



Three Approaches to Funding Corporate IT

Simple, accurate, fair: Pick any two

Approach	Mechanism	Who pays	<u>Upside</u>	Downside
Corporate funding	Firmwide budget	Corporate	 Simple Encourages ambitious projects 	IT competes with other spending priorities
Allocation	Divvies costs per user	Line functions	 Simple Low bookkeeping overhead 	∘Unfair ← overlooks usage
Chargeback	Depts billed on usage	Line functions	 Fair Sensitizes to IT costs 	Onerous bookkeeping



Pick Any Two







Are we getting our money's worth?

Quantifiable data trumps blind faith

Forces accountability and precise thinking

Short-term: Operational metrics capture such operational impacts

Measure the IT unit against its promises to the line functions

Long-term: Eventually, an operational improvement increases revenues or reduces costs

Must show up in a firm's margins



A necessary stepping stone to IT's financial benefits

- Must come from non-IT managers in a project's functional domain
- Must tie directly to a project's business objectives
- Must focus on business outcomes, not what a project does
- Help IT folks see their work in the big-picture of your firm
- IT strategy meets managerial accounting and operations management

Needs a frame of reference to contextualize

- A raw operational metric (e.g., inventory turns 4.6) says little about IT's impact
- Focus on before-and-after change (Δ) and vis-à-vis archrivals
- Important for non-IT managers to pick Lag_{operational} carefully

A good operational metric must explicitly articulate:

- The project's promise. Better, faster, or cheaper? \uparrow revenues or \downarrow costs?
- Unit of measure: Dollars, percentages, or a raw number?
- Time to impact

Non-IT contributions: (a) an operational metric and (b) the appropriate lag



Gauge the contribution of the whole IT portfolio to your strategic aspiration

IT strategy meets corporate finance

Two types - Focus on Δ (changes)

1.Backward looking: How previous IT investments have affected your firm

- **1. Operating margins:** Δ (Revenues-costs)
- **2.** Return on invested capital: Δ (Profit/capital invested to generate it)
 - Closely tracks labor productivity and asset productivity

2.Forward looking: How your IT is strengthening your firm's future potential

- **1.** Tobin's q model: Δ (Your firm's market value/ replacement value of all its assets)
 - sensitive to industry...so benchmark against archrivals
 - usable in public firms, but not private firms or nonprofits
- 2. P/E ratio Δ Ratio of share price and earnings per share
 - Increase signals confidence in future profitable growth
 - Reasonably attributable to IT = 50% asset investments



Are We Investing in the Right Places?

Analyzing your IT portfolio is key

1.Varies by industry...

- Ideal portfolio, some more industries infrastructure-heavy and others apps-heavy
- IT spending as % of revenue
- Note what IT asset classes top performers invest less/ more in
- 70% IT assets are infrastructure—which never provides competitive advantage

2.One size does not fit all

- Low-cost focus on operational efficiency (e.g., automation, SCM)
- Differentiation strategy strategic apps (innovate in products/ services)

How much you spend is less important than how you spend it



Firms must contend with IT investments uncertainty

Real options thinking helps manage high-risk, high-return IT investments

Real options thinking more valuable than quantification
 Views uncertainty as unavoidable but manageable part of IT strategy

Recognizing uncertainty increases certainty

Allows flexible pursuit of high-risk projects without overcommitting to

- New, unproven technologies or
- Ideas with uncertain business benefits

NPV = (Benefits – Costs)

NPV rule: Proceed if +ve, reject if –ve, and a tossup if zero

- Workshorse...but uncertainty has no place in NPV math
- Can mislead when uncertainty in an IT project prevents you from reliably estimating benefits or costs
- Dysfunctionally conservative

Risk: Rejecting a strategically promising IT project

Real options as an antidote \leftarrow exploits uncertainty

- Flexibility to do something in the future without having to do it
- Caps losses on risky bets but preserves their upside

IT assets differ from capital assets in three ways:

- 1. Inherently flexible
- 2. Near-zero reproduction costs
- 3. Don't need to discontinue original use if redeployed for new use



Six Flavors of Real Options





Operational Options

1. Defer: Can delay project without forsaking a market opportunity

- Trap: Misjudging deferability create lock-out in Red Queen competition
- 2. Stage: Broken into sequence of independent smaller stages
 - Risky big-bang projects become baby projects with demonstrable benefits
 - Trap: Failing to make a baby subproject independent of later ones

3. Scale. Can cost-effectively scale up without exploding complexity

- Trap: Poor choice of app architecture, which often creates this option
- 4. Switch: Repurposable for a different use (use) or swapping out a key building block (inputs)
 - Differs from strategic growth option, which uses the project as a foundation to create an unrelated new asset
 - Trap: Non-modular design prevents switching building blocks

5. Abandon: Project can be terminated, possibly with some salvage value

- In theory, any project can be abandoned
- In practice: Sunk costs, loss of face, and political pressure even if doomed
- Surefire tactic: Predefine objective exit criteria and then hand over its kill switch to a non-IT manager outside project team



An Illustration of Options Use

Big-bang approach Without options





Non-IT Managers Contributions to Options Mindset

- 1. Ensure that the baby projects make business sense
- 2. Ensure that the flexibility exercised in a timely manner
- **3. Gatekeeping** with the kill switch if project veers off course

Focus on <u>must-do</u>



Starbucks Card over 15 Years



IT payoff is messy – intangible benefits, impact lags investment, causal ambiguity, need for complements

IT payoff clock - tracks lagged operational and bottomline impacts

Must track changes, benchmark with archrivals
 80/20 rule - cost-effective, strategically-insightful, and objective

Short-term metrics measure IT's operational impact - better, faster, or cheaper in project's business domain

Long-term metrics measure IT's bottomline impact

Both backward- and forward-looking

Real options create flexibility under uncertainty



Day 3: ICA

• The Value of IT





In your group:

- Review the Common IT Operational Impacts & Metrics page
- Brainstorm on the appropriate Operational Metric for each Operational Impact
- Prepare your slide and be ready to explain your choices



Common IT Operational Impacts & Metrics

Project's promise		omise	Operational impact	Operational metric	Effect on margin		
	Better	Faster	Faster Cheaper			<u>↑ revenue</u>	\downarrow costs
	•	Employee productivity		Employee productivity		•	•
	•			Capital asset productivity		•	•
	•			Collaboration		•	•
	•	Supply chain management		Supply chain management		•	•
	•	Value added		Value added		•	
	•			Customer loyalty		•	
	•		Reach new customers			•	
	•	Enter new markets		Enter new markets		•	
	•			Create new revenue stream		•	
			•	Reducing IT costs			•
Fox School of Business		Business					
		TEMPLE U	NIVERSITY ®				