# Managing Enterprise Cybersecurity MIS 4596

Class 10

Asymmetric Cryptography and Digital Signatures

#### **References Format for NIST Publications**

#### How to Cite NIST Technical Series Publications

Only include fields that are applicable to your reference.

#### NIST listed as the author:

Author (Year) Title. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), NIST Series (Series Abbreviation) Report Number, Ed., Vol., Rev., Type and Date of updates/change order. <u>https://doi.org/DOI</u>

 Example: National Institute of Standards and Technology (2001) Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules. (Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.), Federal Information Processing Standards Publications (FIPS PUBS) 140-2, Change Notice 2 December 03, 2002. <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.fips.140-2</u>

### Agenda

- Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law
- Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm
- Man-In-The-Middle Attack
- RSA Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation
- Hybrid Encryption
- Session Key
- Digital Signature
- Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates



Sharing cryptographic keys has been a problem throughout history

• The number of pairs of keys ("secure network connections") grows at a near exponential rate (i.e. geometric rate) as the number of users

increases







- The number of pairs of keys needed for "n" users is determined by an equation known as <u>Metcalf's Law</u>
- Number of key pairs needed for n users = (n\*(n-1))/2
  - The reason for the n-1 is that you do not need to communicate with yourself
- For MIS 4596 with 20 students how many keys would we need: (20 \* 19)/2 = 190 keys

## Agenda

✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law

- Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm
- Man-In-The-Middle Attack
- RSA Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation
- Hybrid Encryption
- Session Key
- Digital Signature
- Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates



#### Diffie-Hellman Algorithm: Secret symmetric key derivation through public key sharing

#### **Assumptions:**

A prime number is a positive whole number whose only factors (i.e. integer divisors) are 1 and the number itself (e.g. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, ...). Bob & Alice want to compute a shared secret key to protect confidentiality of their conversation. Eve eavesdrops...

#### Algorithm:

- 1. Bob & Alice publicly agree on "**p**" called *prime modulus* (e.g. **p = 23**) & "**g**" called *generator* (e.g. **g = 5**), Eve overhears
- 2. Bob & Alice each choose their own secret key:
  - Bob's secret key is referred to as "x\_bob" which is a number between 1 and p-1 (e.g. x\_bob = 12)
  - Alice's secret key is referred to as "**x\_alice**" which also is a number between 1 and p-1 (e.g. **x\_alice = 7**)
- 3. Bob & Alice each computes their own public key, which they share with each other and Eve intercepts...
  - Bob computes:  $y_bob = g^{x_bob} \mod p$  which is:  $y_bob = 5^{12} \mod 23 = \underline{18}$  which he shares with Alice (and Eve)
  - Alice computes: y\_alice = g x\_alice mod p which is: y\_alice = 5<sup>7</sup> mod 23 = <u>17</u> which she shares with Bob (and Eve)
- 4. Bob & Alice each compute their shared secret symmetric key
  - Bob computes: y\_alice<sup>x\_bob</sup> mod p which is: 17<sup>12</sup> mod 23 = 6
  - Alice computes: **y\_bob**<sup>x\_alice</sup> **mod p** which is: **18<sup>7</sup> mod 23 = 6**
- 5. Bob & Alice now have a **shared secret ("symmetric") key = 6**
- 6. Eve has Bob & Alice's public keys: y\_bob=18 & y\_alice=17, prime modulus: p=23 and generator: g=5, but not their secret keys x\_bob = 12 & x\_alice = 7
  - Eve cannot calculate Bob& Alice's shared symmetric secret key from their public keys, p and g alone even though she knows they are using the Diffie-Hellman algorithm!

#### **Generic Diffie-Hellman Algorithm**

#### Run the algorithm together

- 1. Bob & Alice publicly agree on "**p**" called *prime modulus* (e.g. **p = 31**) and "**g**" called *generator* (e.g. **g = 3**), Eve overhears
- 2. Bob & Alice each choose your own secret key:
  - Bob's secret key is referred to as "**x\_bob**" which is a number between 1 and p-1 (write it down but keep it secret)
  - Alice's secret key is referred to as "**x\_alice**" which also is a number between 1 and p-1 (write it down but keep it secret)
- 3. Bob & Alice each computes your own public key, which you share with each other, and Eve intercepts...
  - Bob computes: y\_bob = g x\_bob mod p which he writes down and shares with Alice (and Eve)
  - Alice computes: **y\_alice = g** \*\_alice **mod p** which she writes down and shares with Bob (and Eve)
- 4. Bob & Alice each secretly compute your shared secret symmetric key which you write down and do not share
  - Bob computes: **y\_alice**<sup>x\_bob</sup> **mod p** which he writes down and does not share
  - Alice computes: **y\_bob**<sup>x\_alice</sup> **mod p** which she writes down and does not share
- 5. Bob & Alice now have a **shared secret ("symmetric") key** compare your secret keys and confirm they are the same
- 6. Eve has Bob & Alice's public keys: **y\_bob** & **y\_alice**, prime modulus: **p** and generator: **g**, but not their secret keys **x\_bob** & **x\_alice** 
  - Eve cannot calculate Bob& Alice's shared symmetric secret key from their public keys, p and g alone even though she knows they are using the Diffie-Hellman algorithm!

#### In practice, *p* must be much larger prime number... this is a 4096-bit *p*

857,756,147,438,808,767,721,482,523,862,479,196,091,217,066,271,200,126,894,701,702,329,327,8 72.802.487.425.224.246.373.206.756.773.954.180.315.945.664.685.564.049.690.107.228.861.210.05 3.005.306.168.041.237.244.792.245.832.497.260.206.801.417.396.745.674.574.281.768.112.711.519. 809.332.223.737.878.554.093.201.446.763.995.425.025.965.323.912.149.043.161.823.975.594.943.9 15,411,109,637,902,372,642,611,214,196,649,667,036,726,005,577,041,694,781,738,635,943,018,156 ,362,403,714,091,905,448,620,990,965,500,814,912,289,738,636,687,051,381,358,564,729,963,735,7 82,176,280,511,819,070,673,927,579,180,484,836,950,910,945,840,410,470,935,832,100,360,510,117 ,962,261,152,920,101,946,255,789,679,435,711,472,267,368,823,730,863,971,596,718,223,674,224,1 06,003,985,209,174,353,308,077,140,794,884,546,003,360,030,727,697,326,025,663,819,442,780,10 5,880,604,943,197,516,223,343,068,846,392,924,237,875,653,640,416,933,764,628,191,065,601,980, 281,442,005,263,033,849,543,723,716,743,986,123,624,356,871,152,793,177,027,462,801,070,011,5 26,783,269,474,338,816,734,553,122,757,257,382,121,230,562,181,721,318,331,271,107,036,972,78 8,062,816,322,387,506,944,045,038,739,178,684,349,474,317,534,892,731,313,651,324,179,101,369, 222,316,429,969,662,605,450,068,078,088,031,941,042,867,503,697,721,512,539,949,128,099,005,1 60,179,345,242,776,041,458,121,259,813,719,561,319,392,760,414,249,584,984,440,063,314,771,03 9,261,920,249,005,444,014,069,555,961,131,639,966,539,872,980,057,279,636,609,441,274,119,014, 567,294,590,620,498,019,375,631,405,622,479,332,810,401,520,856,695,524,524,855,468,645,479,0 42,909,834,183,316,487,318,824,544,358,235,183,243,643

# Diffie-Hellman

- Uses asymmetric public and private keys to exchange a symmetric key
- Does not use asymmetric keys for confidentiality (i.e. to encrypt or decrypt any messages)
- Users/systems need to negotiate a new key for every new person
- No authentication, no non-repudiation

#### Diffie-Hellman was vulnerable to man-in-the-middle attack, because no authentication occurs before public keys are exchanged

- 1. Tanya sends her public key to Erika, but Lance grabs the key during transmission so it never makes it to Erika
- 2. Lance spoofs Tanya's identity and sends over his public key to Erika. Erika now thinks she has Tanya's public key
- 3. Erika sends her public key to Tanya, but Lance grabs the key during transmission so it never makes it to Tanya
- 4. Lance spoofs Erika's identity and sends over his public key to Tanya. Tanya now thinks she has Erika's public key
- 5. Tanya combines her private key and Lance's public key and creates a symmetric key S1
- 6. Lance combines his private key and Tanya's public key and creates symmetric key S1
- 7. Erika combines her private key and Lance's public key and creates symmetric key S2
- 8. Lance combines his private key and Erika's public key and creates symmetric key S2
- 9. Now Tanya and Lance share a symmetric key (S1) and Erika and Lance share a different symmetric key (S2). Tanya and Erika think they are sharing a key between themselves and do not realize Lance is involved
- 10. Tanya writes a message to Erika, and uses her symmetric key (S1) to encrypt the message, and sends it
- 11. Lance grabs the message and decrypts it with symmetric key S1, reads or modifies the message and re-encrypts it with symmetric key S2, and then sends it to Erika
- 12. Erika take symmetric key S2 and uses it to decrypt and read the message....



## Agenda

#### ✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law

- ✓ Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm
- ✓ Man-In-The-Middle Attack
- RSA Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation
- Hybrid Encryption
- Session Key
- Digital Signature
- Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates

#### Symmetric versus asymmetric algorithms

- Symmetric cryptography
  - Use a copied pair of symmetric (identical) secret keys
  - The sender and the receive use the same key for encryption and decryption functions
  - Confidentiality, but no integrity, authentication nor non-repudiation
- Asymmetric cryptography
  - Also know as "public key cryptography"
  - Use different ("asymmetric") keys for encryption and decryption
  - One is called the "private key" and the other is the "public key"
  - Confidentiality, but also want authenticity and non-repudiation

#### Leonard Adleman Adi Shamir

# Ron Rivest

# RSA Public Key Algorithm

- Most popular worldwide standard, that can be used for:
  - Asymmetric encryption/decryption
  - Key exchange (i.e. used to encrypt AES symmetric key)
  - Digital signatures
- In one direction, RSA provides:
  - <u>Confidentiality</u> through encryption
  - <u>Authentication</u> and <u>non-repudiation</u> through signature verification
- In the inverse direction, RSA provides:
  - <u>Confidentiality</u> through decryption
  - <u>Authentication</u> and <u>non-repudiation</u> through signature generation

## RSA Public Key Algorithm

- Based on factoring large numbers into their prime numbers
  - A prime number is a positive whole number whose only factors (i.e. integer divisors) are 1 and the number itself
    - E.g. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, ...
  - Prime number factoring is
    - Easy when you know the result and one of the factors
      - 6,700,283 = 1889 \* 3547
    - Difficult when you do not know the factors, and the result is large
      - 6,700,283 = prime1 \* prime2

912,000,833,142,392,234,931,095,438,312,170,357,695,712,756,726,097,734,441,072,301,836,8 39,393,353,139,295,831,007,333,431,845,325,988,055,078,535,723,070,121,899,982,515,821,09 6,513,935,693,429,159,810,068,629,730,360,987,721,191,239,128,388,101,705,884,309,757,897, 995,146,963,367,920,258,875,045,283,800,013,428,503,089,286,243,910,365,443,336,583,304,5 89,741,301,149,906,707,508,832,951,802,034,609,255,816,376,427,847,745,175,505,389,216,57 5,446,117,214,435,309,308,014,792,888,796,704,735,885,959,753,047,089,134,349,280,135,328, 216,026,587,690,550,563,014,619,967,646,165,581,934,916,994,388,164,807,475,497,618,817,1 78,492,168,759,798,526,076,195,659,132,696,724,374,189,538,701,725,588,364,053,265,311,71 3,122,599,620,063,110,587,984,125,160,066,509,094,636,495,654,197,043,440,384,099,590,663, 387,607,347,763,569,889,588,046,648,769,380,051,353,352,323,215,616,700,132,767,221,738,2 55,618,066,992,935,073,985,886,089,858,691,117,257,124,338,259,178,666,315,503,726,679,90 4,506,880,795,225,928,179,249,708,512,521,519,802,379,088,471,059,576,692,488,554,724,378, 606,462,675,913,887,571,281,558,908,666,408,509,112,360,978,089,673,490,666,194,566,892,4 24,767,464,525,985,354,883,620,245,066,389,972,670,528,760,628,056,151,340,458,770,638,78 3,170,937,336,003,358,144,954,416,252,316,459,167,693,365,704,770,051,596,394,325,584,518, 899,185,083,613,743,340,976,318,518,122,032,762,826,960,167,883,646,888,151,502,959,194,1 55,684,395,680,807,784,172,903,618,731,005,977,092,813,955,195,470,328,083,428,604,222,13 8,565,171,106,482,154,997,950,843,259,717,191,116,046,110,961,976,117,683,744,708,282,531, 877,426,978,230,302,213,288,137,147

# $prime_1 * prime_2 =$

912,000,833,142,392,234,931,095,438,312, 39,393,353,139,295,831,007,333,431,845,3 6,513,935,693,429,159,810,068,629,730,36 995,146,963,367,920,258,875,045,283,800, 89,741,301,149,906,707,508,832,951,802,0 5,446,117,214,435,309,308,014,792,888,79 216,026,587,690,550,563,014,619,967,646, 78,492,168,759,798,526,076,195,659,132,6 3,122,599,620,063,110,587,984,125,160,06 387,607,347,763,569,889,588,046,648,769, 55,618,066,992,935,073,985,886,089,858,6 4,506,880,795,225,928,179,249,708,512,52 606,462,675,913,887,571,281,558,908,666, 24,767,464,525,985,354,883,620,245,066,3 3,170,937,336,003,358,144,954,416,252,31 899,185,083,613,743,340,976,318,518,122, 55,684,395,680,807,784,172,903,618,731,0 8,565,171,106,482,154,997,950,843,259,71 877,426,978,230,302,213,288,137,147

- Public and Private keys are mathematically related
  - Public keys are generated from private key
  - Private keys cannot be derived from the associated public key (if it falls into the wrong hands)
- **Public key** can be known by everyone
- **Private key** must be known and used only by the owner

Asymmetric systems use two different keys for encryption and decryption purposes.



Asymmetric cryptography is computationally intensive and much slower (1,000 times slower) than symmetric cryptography

- Do not get confused and think the public key is only for encryption and private key is only for decryption!
- Each key type can be use used to encrypt and decrypt
  - If data is encrypted with a private key it cannot be decrypted with the same private key (but it can be decrypted with the related public key)
  - If data is encrypted with a public key it cannot be decrypted with the same public key (but it can be decrypted with the related private key)

If the sender ("Jill") encrypts data with her private key, the receiver ("Bill") must have a copy of Jill's public key to decrypt it

- By decrypting the message with Jill's public key Bill can be sure the message really came from Jill
- A message can be decrypted with a public key only if the message was encrypted with the corresponding private key
  - This provides <u>authentication</u> because Jill is only the only one who is supposed to have her private key

If Bill (the receiver) wants to make sure Jill is the only one who can read his reply, he will encrypt the response with her public key

- Only Jill will be able to decrypt the message, because she is the only one who has the necessary private key
- This provides confidentiality because only Jill is able to decrypt the message with her private key

Why would Bill (now the sender) choose to encrypt his reply to Jill with his private key instead of using Jill's public key?

- Authentication Bill wants Jill to know that the message came from him and no one else
- If he encrypted the data with Jill's public key, it does not provide authenticity because anyone can get Jill's public key
- If he uses his private key to encrypt the data, then Jill can be sure the message came from him and no one else

**Note:** Symmetric keys do not provide authenticity – because the same key is used on both ends (using one of the secret keys does not ensure the message originated from a specific individual

- If **confidentiality** is the most important security service, the sender would encrypt the file with the receiver's public key
  - This is called a "secure message format" because it can only be decrypted by the person with the corresponding private key
- If **authentication** is most important, the sender would encrypt the data with her/his private key
  - This provides assurance to the receiver that the only person who could have encrypted the data is the individual in possession of the private key
  - If the sender encrypted the data with receiver's public key, authentication is not provided because the public key is available to anyone
  - Encrypting data with the sender's private key is called an "open message format" because anyone with a copy of the corresponding public key can decrypt the message
  - Confidentiality is not assured

### Public Key Management



#### Stallings, W. (2014) Cryptography and Network Security

## Agenda

- ✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law
- ✓ Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm
- ✓ Man-In-The-Middle Attack
- ✓RSA Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation
- Hybrid Encryption
- Session key
- Digital Signature
- Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates

#### Hybrid Encryption (a.k.a. "digital envelope")

Symmetric and asymmetric and algorithms are often used together

- Public key cryptography's asymmetric algorithm is used to create public and private keys for secure automated key distribution
- Symmetric algorithm is used to create secret keys for rapid encryption/decryption of bulk data



MIS 4596 Harris, S. and Maymi, F. (2016) <u>All-In-One CISSP Exam Guide</u>, McGraw Hill Education

# Hybrid Encryption



Symmetric algorithm uses a secret key to encrypt the message and the asymmetric key encrypts the secret key for transmission (SSL/TLS uses hybrid)

Harris, S. and Maymi, F. (2016) <u>All-In-One CISSP Exam Guide</u>, McGraw Hill Education

#### Quick review

- 1. If a symmetric key is encrypted with a receiver's public key, what security service is provided?
  - **Confidentiality**: only the receiver's private key can be used to decrypt the symmetric key, and only the receiver should have access to this private key

#### Quick review

- 2. If data is encrypted with the sender's private key, what security services are provided?
  - Authenticity of the sender and nonrepudiation. If the receiver can decrypt the encrypted data with the sender's public key, then receiver knows the data was encrypted with the sender's private key

#### Quick review

- 3. Why do we encrypt the message with the symmetric key rather than the asymmetric key?
  - Because the asymmetric key algorithm is too slow

#### Session keys

Single-use symmetric keys used to encrypt messages between two users in an individual communication session



4) Tanya decrypts Lance's message with her private key and now has a copy of the session key.

5) Tanya and Lance use this session key to encrypt and decrypt messages to each other.

Harris, S. and Maymi, F. (2016) All-In-One CISSP Exam Guide, McGraw Hill Education

MIS 4596

## Agenda

✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law

✓ Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm

✓ Man-In-The-Middle Attack

✓RSA - Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation

✓ Hybrid Encryption

✓ Session key

- Digital Signature
- Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates

# Quick Review: One-way Hash

- Assures message integrity
- A function that takes a variable-length string (i.e. message) and produces a fixed-length value called a hash value
- Does not use keys

- 1. Sender puts message through hashing function
- 2. Message digest generated
- 3. Message digest appended to the message
- 4. Sender sends message to receiver
- 5. Receiver puts message through hashing function
- 6. Receiver generates message digest value
- Receiver compares the two message digests values. If they are the same, the message has not been altered



# **Digital Signature**

- A hash value encrypted with the sender's private key
- The act of signing means encrypting the message's hash value with the private key



# Reasons to Use Cryptography

Reason	How achieved
Confidentiality	The message can be encrypted
Integrity	The message can be hashed and/or digitally signed
Authentication	The message can be digitally signed
Nonrepudiation	The message can be digitally signed

## Agenda

✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law

✓ Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm

✓ Man-In-The-Middle Attack

✓RSA - Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation

✓ Hybrid Encryption

✓ Session key

✓ Digital Signature

• Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates

#### Viewing Digital Certificates to see algorithms used

Show certificate (issued by GlobalSign Extended Validation CA - SHA256 - G3)

#### **Chrome Browser**

- 1. Click the padlock in the URL bar
- 2. Click the "Connection is secure"
- 3. Click "Certificate is valid"





- ← Security fim.temple.edu
- Connection is secure Your information (for example, passwords or credit card numbers) is private when it is sent to this site. Learn more
- Certificate is valid

Issued to: Temple University-Of The Commonwealth System of Higher Education [US]

#### Certificate Viewer: fim.temple.edu General Details Issued To Common Name (CN) fim.temple.edu Organization (O) Temple University-Of The Commonwealth System of Higher Education Organizational Unit (OU) <Not Part Of Certificate> Issued By Common Name (CN) GlobalSign Extended Validation CA - SHA256 - G3 Organization (O) GlobalSign nv-sa Organizational Unit (OU) <Not Part Of Certificate> Validity Period Monday, June 12, 2023 at 10:41:06 AM Issued On Saturday, July 13, 2024 at 10:41:05 AM Expires On Fingerprints SHA-256 Fingerprint BA 40 EA 92 8D 45 05 96 12 45 F4 36 55 75 31 4F 2E A4 87 2A 8F BB B0 81 4B 56 8A EC 61 E6 B7 3D SHA-1 Fingerprint F1 09 64 8E DE BA D5 7C 1E 5A FE 8E F1 2A DC 31 05 9C C5 59

MIS 4596

General	Details
Certificat	e Hierarchy
♥ Globa	ISign
⇒ Gl	obalSign Extended Validation CA - SHA256 - G3
	fim.temple.edu
Certificat	e Fields
♥ fim.ter	mple.edu
Ψ Ce	rtificate
	Version
	Serial Number
	Certificate Signature Algorithm
	Issuer
	" Validity
	Not Before
	Not After
	Subject
	<sup>r</sup> Subject Public Key Info
	Subject Public Key Algorithm
	Subject's Public Key
3	<sup>r</sup> Extensions
	Certificate Key Usage
	Authority Information Access
	Certificate Policies
	Certificate Basic Constraints
	CRL Distribution Points
	Certificate Subject Alternative Name
	Extended Key Usage
	Certification Authority Key ID
	Certificate Subject Key ID
	Signed Certificate Timestamp List
	Certificate Signature Algorithm
	Certificate Signature Value
-	" Fingerprints
	SHA-256 Fingerprint
	SHA-1 Fingerprint

Export...

# Viewing Digital Certificate

#### Microsoft Edge

- 1. Click the padlock on the URL bar
- 2. Click Connection is secure
- 3. Click Certificate icon...

https://tuportal6.temple.edu/group/home		Ô
Abc View site information edu	$\times$	< c
Connection is secure	>	This s
Permissions for this site		This i secur
Cookies (2 cookies in use)	>	Alwa infori
		Learr
Trackers (0 blocked)	>	

https://tuportal6.temple.edu/group/home
< Connection is secure
This site has a valid certificate, issued by a trusted authority.
This means information (such as passwords or credit cards) will be securely sent to this site and cannot be intercepted.
Always be sure you're on the intended site before entering any information.
Learn more

Issued To	
Common Name (CN) Organization (O)	TUportal.temple.edu Temple University-Of The Commonwealth System of Hi Education
Organizational Unit (OU	Not Part Of Certificate>
Issued By	
Common Name (CN)	GlobalSign RSA OV SSL CA 2018
Organization (O) Organizational Unit (OU	GlobalSign nv-sa <pre>&gt; </pre> <pre>&gt; </pre> <pre>Output</pre> <pr< td=""></pr<>
Contificato Viewer TU	nortal tamala adu
Certificate viewer. 10	portai.tempie.euu
General <b>Details</b>	
Certificate Hierarchy	
,	
F GlobalSign	
F GlobalSign	SSL CA 2018
F GlobalSign GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple	SSL CA 2018 2.edu
F GlobalSign GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields	SSL CA 2018 e.edu
F © GlobalSign © GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields © TUportal.temple.edu	SSL CA 2018 e.edu
F © GlobalSign © GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields © TUportal.temple.edu © Certificate	SSL CA 2018 e.edu
F Certificate Fields  TUportal.temple.edu Certificate Version	SSL CA 2018 2.edu
F © GlobalSign © GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields © TUportal.temple.edu © Certificate Version Serial Number	SSL CA 2018 eedu
F Certificate Serial Number Certificate Serial Number Certificate Serial Number Certificate Signal	SSL CA 2018 e.edu
F © GlobalSign © GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields © TUportal.temple.edu © Certificate Version Serial Number Certificate Signa Issuer	SSL CA 2018 2.edu
F © GlobalSign © GlobalSign RSA OV TUportal.temple Certificate Fields © TUportal.temple.edu © Certificate Version Serial Number Certificate Signa Issuer © Validity	SSL CA 2018 e.edu
F Certificate Fields  TUportal.temple.edu  Certificate Version Serial Number Certificate Signa Issuer Validity Not Before	SSL CA 2018 e.edu

Certificate Viewer: TUportal.temple.edu

 $\times$ 

#### Certificate Details

V Global	Sign
- 60	
	roportantemple.edu
Certificate	Fields
♥ TUport	al.temple.edu
▼ Ce	tificate
	Version
	Serial Number
	Certificate Signature Algorithm
	Issuer
	Validity
	Not Before
	Not After
	Subject
v	Subject Public Key Info
	Subject Public Key Algorithm
	Subject's Public Key
v	Extensions
	Certificate Key Usage
	Certificate Basic Constraints
	Authority Information Access
	Certificate Policies
	CRL Distribution Points
	Certificate Subject Alternative Name
	Extended Key Usage
	Certification Authority Key ID
	Certificate Subject Key ID
	Signed Certificate Timestamp List
	Certificate Signature Algorithm
	Certificate Signature Value
	SHA-256 Fingerprints
	Certificate
	Public Key
Field Valu	2

Export..

Certificate Viewer: TUportal.te

		×
<b>Certifica</b> General	ate Viewer: TUportal.temple.edu Details	
Certificat	te Hierarchy	
🔻 Globa	alSign	
≂ G	lobalSign RSA OV SSL CA 2018	
	TUportal.temple.edu	
Certificat	te Fields	
	Version	
	Serial Number	
	Certificate Signature Algorithm	
	Issuer	
4	Validity	
	Not Before	
	Not After	
	Subject	
	Subject Public Key Info	•
Field Valu	ue	
7/10/2	24. 10:46:05 AM EDT	

41

Export...

#### Certificate Details

General <b>Details</b>	
Certificate Hierarchy	
GlobalSign	
GlobalSign RSA OV SSL CA 2018	
TUportal.temple.edu	
Certificate Fields	
Not Before	
Not After	
Subject	
Subject Public Key Info	
Subject Public Key Algorithm	
Subject's Public Key	
Extensions	
Certificate Key Usage	
Field Value	
Modulus (2048 bits): EF C0 9B 98 3F DA 05 CF BC 8D 2F 08 08 3A FC EB 41 AA 4F AE D2 59 42 B8 2A F5 BC 46 FF 54 06 D1 3C C3 70 E3 FD 83 94 21 59 66 43 D9 EB 05 1E 4F 4F CC 6E F7 1B FD FA 3E 2B 13 20 5E 02 A7 53 CD	
	Fxp

#### Certificate Viewer: TUportal.temple.edu

 $\times$ 

Export...

 $\leftarrow$  C  $\bigcirc$ 

cryptii

fttps://cryptii.com

General Details

Certificate Hierarchy

🔻 GlobalSign

▼ GlobalSign RSA OV SSL CA 2018							
TUportal.temple.edu		VIEW		ENCODE DECODE	:	VIEW	
Certificate Fields	+	Test	(+)	Niver and available	+	Test	(+)
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Iext 🕶		Numeral system 👻		iext 🕶	
Not Before							
Not After		EF C0 9B 98 3F DA 05 CF BC 8D		READ		11101111 11000000 10011011	
Subject		2F 08 08 3A FC EB		O Binary (2)		10011000 111111 11011010 101	
Subject Public Key Info		41 AA 4F AE D2 59 42 B8 2A F5		O Octal (8)		11001111 10111100 10001101	
Subject Public Key Algorithm		BC 46 FF 54 06 D1		O Decimal (10)		101111 1000 1000 111010	
Subject's Dublic Key		3C C3 70 E3 FD 83 94 21 59 66		Hevadecimal (16)		11111100 11101011	
Subject's Fubic Rey		43 D9 EB 05 1E 4F				1000001 10101010 1001111	
Extensions		4F CC 6E F7 1B FD FA 3E 2B 13		O Roman numerals		10101110 11010010 1011001	
Certificate Key Usage 🔹 🔻		20 5E 02 A7 53 CD				1000010 10111000 101010	
Field Volue		7D 83 FB 0C 7F 47 7A 93 61 F6		CONVERT TO		11110101 10111100 1000110	
		27 23 3C 92 1D 8D		Inary (2)		11111111 1010100 110 11010001	
		61 62 DB B7 2D 9E E0 CD FC 8C		$\bigcirc$ Octal (8)		111100 11000011 1110000	
41 AA 4F AE D2 59 42 B8 2A F5 BC 46 FF 54 06 D1		93 40 F2 F6 41 63		$\bigcirc$ Decimal (10)		11100011 11111101 10000011	
3C C3 70 E3 FD 83 94 21 59 66 43 D9 EB 05 1E 4F		1D 18 81 0A 38 2B 31 79 6F 89				10010100 100001 1011001 1100110	
4F CC 6E F7 1B FD FA 3E 2B 13 20 5E 02 A7 53 CD		BF A8 CC 26 E8 D7		O Hexadecimal (16)		1000011 11011001 11101011 101	
61 62 DB B7 2D 9E E0 CD FC 8C 93 40 F2 F6 41 63		69 45 7B CE AE 1F EB 62 E9 31		O Roman numerals		11110 1001111	
1D 18 81 0A 38 2B 31 79 6F 89 BF A8 CC 26 E8 D7		56 3D B3 AB 0A 51				1001111 11001100 1101110	
8F 5B 5A E6 3C 24 E9 3F 73 15 16 A4 AC 56 A5 06		8F 5B 5A E6 3C 24 E9 3F 73 15		-> Encoded 2061 share		11110111 11011 11111101	
BA 6D 41 D3 44 E0 A1 7D 97 A1 2C 96 2E 73 D9 86				-> Encoded 2001 chars		11111010 111110 101011 10011	
39 AB 7F 26 EE 49 BF C4 92 C9 00 5B EB 99 39 6D							A A A A
2E DD C3 9C A3 AB 6A BB 60 BB 5E DB 34 F3 37 TD 8D 14 C1 BF 55 52 9E 09 6C 2B C1 78 09 BF EA CA							
5A 73 86 47 8C AE 51 C4 72 86 31 71 D1 F0 DC 94							
6B EE D6 C0 9B 49 97 33 2C FC 0C D3 44 A0 EB D2							
30 DA 6B 73 15 40 52 69 C6 7E 91 24 FD 0D AA 8D							
Public Exponent (17 bits):				https://	cryptii co	m/	
01 00 01				<u>11(ps.//t</u>			

口 🕼 🕀 💮 🍼 🌗

Ads by EthicalAds

2023 State of OSS Security 40% of respondents don't use supply chain security. Get the OSS trends

🗑 snyk

### Agenda

✓ Key sharing problem: Metcalf's Law

✓ Symmetric key sharing with Diffie-Hellman public key algorithm

✓ Man-In-The-Middle Attack

✓RSA - Public Key Encryption with confidentiality, authentication and non-repudiation

✓ Hybrid Encryption

✓ Session key

✓ Digital Signature

✓ Finding algorithms in internet browser certificates