Protecting Information Assets - Unit #13 -

Computer Application Security

Agenda

- Introduction
- Software development life cycle (SDLC)
- SDLC and security
- Test taking tip
- Quiz

Application Security

As applications become more accessible though the web, cloud and mobile devices,

organizations are being forced to abandon their reactive approach to security and, instead,

to take a proactive approach by minimizing risk directly in the software they buy, create and use to serve themselves and their customers

Usual trend



MIS 5206 Protecting Information Assets

Harris, S. and Maymi F. (2016) CISSP All-in-One Exam Guide, McGraw-Hill Education, p. 1080

Perimeter security solutions are often relied on as a solution to insecure application development practices



Perimeter security solutions are often relied on as a solution to insecure application development practices



Past and current situation....

- Application developers are not security professionals
 - Software vendors skip proper security architecture, design and testing steps as they race to beat competitors to market with new features
- Secure application development practices have not historically been taught in computer science and other academic departments, and are only recently being considered and adopted by developers
- Development projects' scope and budgets focus on functionality, not security
- Security professionals typically not software developers
 - Often lack insight for understanding of software vulnerabilities
- IT customers...
 - "Trained" to expect to receive flawed software needing upgrades and patches
 - Unable to control flaws in software they purchase, so they rely on perimeter protection

Security Architecture

Security strategy needs to be a consideration at each level of the architecture



NIST SP 800-39 Managing Information Security Risk Organization Mission and Information View



Best Practice: Build Security In

SecurityCreation, use and enforcement of System Architecture standards provides the
basic building blocks for developing, implementing and maintaining secure
applicationsSoftware
Development LifeAttention to security throughout the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) is
the key to creating secure, manageable applications regardless of platform or
technologiesCycleDescribing the process and datailed criteria that will be used to ensure the

Procurement Standards

Describing the process and detailed criteria that will be used to assess the security level of third party software enables companies to make strategic, security-sensitive decisions about purchased software purchases

Software Development Life Cycle

Requirements

- Why the software was created (i.e. goals)
- Who the software was created for
- What the software is intended to do

Design

 Specifications identifying how software and data will be formed to accomplish goals and used to meet requirements

Development

 Programming software code implemented and integrated to meet specifications

Testing-Validation

Assuring software and data works as planned to meet the goals

Release-Maintenance

Deploying software and data, and assuring they are properly configured, patched and monitored



Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC)

- 1. Requirements analysis
- 2. Design
- 3. Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")
- 4. Testing/Validation
- 5. Release/Maintenance

Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC)

1. Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...

2. Design

- Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...

3. Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")

- Source code control system, code reviews, daily builds, automated CASE tools...

4. Testing/Validation

- Unit testing and integration testing (daily builds), manual and regression testing, user acceptance testing

5. Release/Maintenance

- Release testing

Software requirements specifications documents help support:

Validation

- "Did they build the right application?"
 - In large complex applications it is easy to lose sight of the main goal
 - Does the application/system provide the solution for the intended problem?
 - Are security control specifications included?

Verification

- "Did they build the application right?"
 - Applications can be built that do not match the original specifications
 - Verification determines if the application accurately represents and meets the specifications
 - Verification ensures that security control specifications were properly met

SDLC and Security

- 1. Requirements analysis
 - Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...
 - + CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...
- 2. Design
 - Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...
 - + Threat modeling, + Attack surface analysis,...
- 3. Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")
 - Source code control system, code reviews, daily builds, automated CASE tools...
 - + Developer security training, + Static analysis, + Secure code repositories,...
- 4. Testing/Validation
 - Unit testing and integration testing (daily builds), manual and regression testing, user acceptance testing
 - + Dynamic analysis, + Fuzzing,...
- 5. Release/Maintenance
 - Release testing
 - + Separation of duties, +Change management,...

Software requirements often specified with...

- **1. Information model** Type and content of information that will be processed and how it will be processed
- Functional model Tasks and functions the application needs to carry out
- **3. Behavioral model** States the application will be in and transition among

SDLC and Security

Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...
- + CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...

Organisation & relev	ant process	Information Asset Details										
Operating Unit / Function	Process name	Name of Asset	Personal Identifiying Information (PII) (Y/N)	Personal Health Information (PHI) (Y/N)	Critical Infrastructure Information (CII) (Y/N)	Customer Data (Y/N)	Organization Data (Y/N)	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability	Categorization	
Thermal Distribution Syste	r Chilled Water	TDS	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Medium		
- - Thermal Distribution Syste	r Heated Water	TDS	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Medium		
Thermal Distribution Syste	m	TDS	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	
- Communication	Data	сом	N	N	Y	N	Y	Medium	Medium	Medium		
- Communication	Voice	сом	N	N	Y	N	Y	Medium	Medium	Medium		
Communication	Security	СОМ	N	N	Y	N	Y	High	High	High		
Communication		СОМ	N	N	Y	N	Y	High	High	High	High	
Public Works	Sewer	Utilities	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Low		
Public Works	Stormwater	Utilities	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Low		
Public Works	Water	Utilities	N	N	Y	N	Y	Low	Medium	Low		
Public Works		Utilities	N	N	Y	N	Y				Medium	
External	Parcels	Parcels	Y	N	N	Y	N	Low	Low	Low	Low	

Verification – Information Model

Did they build the application right?

Does it match the data model?





Functional model



Functional Requirements

for

Sewer Outage Notification Application

Validation

Did they build the right application?

Each bubble represents a functional capability ("use case") of the application

Functional model



Validation

- Did they build the right application?
- Does it do what the organization needs?

Additional software requirements for handling a security failure in the context of the use case:

Contingency to Normal Operations:	Fail Case		Consequer	ice to Failure	E
•					
Security Requirements:					Г
Secure Requirements:					 F
Security Constraints:					⊢
Data Collection &	Confidentiality	Integrity		Availability	∟
Privacy:					
Associated Risks:					

	Use Case ID:	1							
	Use Case Name:	Review]	Pumpstation Stat	us					
	Iteration:	Focused							
	Created By:	Janife		Last Updated By:	David Lanter				
	Date Created:	6-17-200	5	Date Last Updated:	7-6-2005				
		Actor:	Customer Servic	e Representative (CSR))				
			Customer Servic	e Supervisor (CSS)					
			Utilities Operation	ons Manager (UOM)					
	Dei	cription:	The user (CSR, C	CSS or UOM) confirms	that the pump stations'				
			statuses are up-to	-date, before generatin	g an outage event				
			notification list.						
		Triggers:	Outage event has	occurred or is planned	l.				
	Preco	nditions:	 Up to date pr 	ump station GIS feature	e class dataset with current				
			pump station	status values exist are	presented to user within				
			GIS applicat	ion's map user interfac	e.				
			 Parcel GIS fe 	eature class dataset mu	st exist and presented to				
			user within O	HS application's map u	iser interface.				
			 GIS Data Set 	rver online					
		11-1	 GIS Web Set 	rver online					
	Postco	nditions:	None						
		Priority:	Unknown						
	Frequenc	y of Use:	Moderate						
	Normal Course o	f Events:	 User receives information that an outage has occurred, or is 						
			planned.						
			2. User invokes t	ne GIS Outage Notific	ation application.				
			5. User reviews o	isplay of pump station	s' statuses on GIS'				
			A User confirme	p. that the number stations!	statures are un to date in				
			4. User continues	that the pump stations	statuses are up-to-date in				
	Alternative	Courses	33 User regions	display of nump static	n's statuses in nump station				
	Alternative	courses.	station s statuses in pump station						
á	Ex	centions:	If the CSR or CS	S determines that the n	ump stations' statuses are				
		ceptions.	not un-to-date th	ev will notify the UOA	I responsible for undating				
			the pump station	statuses.	r responsione tor upusting				
	Ex	tensions:	Use Case 2 - Undate Pumpstation Status						
		Includes:	None						
I	Related Busine	ss Rules:	None						
1	Special Requi	rements:	None						
1	Assu	mptions:	User provided wi	ith GUI control to invo	ke this use case.				
1	Notes an	d Issues:	 It is not clear 	how User knows for c	ertain that the pump				
			stations' stat	uses are correct in the (GIS.				
			 SCADA or a 	real-time data feedbac	k system is required to				
			assure that p	ump stations' statuses a	are all correct and up-to-				
			date.	-	-				
			 CSR or CSS 	must work through the	UOM to assure that the				
			status of the	pumpstations are corre	et.				

Contingency to Normal Operations Outline effects of a failure to the system. This includes:

- Fail Case what to do when things go wrong
- **Consequences of Failure** the negative business affects when a security incident occurs

Security Requirements Outline how the attack surfaces are being protected from external attackers and how inherent vulnerabilities will be mitigated, accepted, or avoided

Secure Requirements How does this use case address overall security of the system(s) involved, business processes, and individual business units

Security Constraints What constraints does this use case put on the security of the system and/or processes by limiting capabilities of security software, hardware, and/or procedures?

Data Collection & Privacy What are the impacts of breaches to Confidentiality, Integrity, and Availability of the process, data being collected, and the privacy of the overall system?

Associated Risks What are the security specific risks that come along with running this use case?

Behavioral models – "swim lane" model

Validation

"Did they build the right application?"

Verification

"Did they build the application the way the organization functions and needs it to work?"





SDLC and Security

Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...

+ CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...

Design

- Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...
- + Threat modeling, + Attack surface analysis,...



SDLC Design Security

Microsoft's Threat Modeling Tool



SDLC Design Security

Attack surface is what is available to be used by an attacker against the application itself

Goal of attack surface analysis is to identify and reduce the amount of code and functionality accessible to untrusted users

Development team should reduce the attack surface as much as possible to remove "resources" that can be used as avenues for the attacker to use



An example use case with notations for communication and transfer of sensitive information across system boundaries

> E = External access E/C = External data communication I/C = Internal Communication



Richardson, T. and Thies, C. (2013) Secure Software Design

An example of the "Misuse Management Method" identifying possible attack points for each activity, and the "fail" use case state for each

E = External access E/C = External data communication I/C = Internal Communication

A = Attack

• = Fail use case



Richardson, T. and Thies, C. (2013) Secure Software Design



2021 CWE Top 25 Most Dangerous Software Weaknesses

Top 25 | Analysis | Methodology | Scoring Metrics | On the Cusp | Limitations | Remapping | Ongoing Improvement

List of the most widespread and critical weaknesses that can lead to serious vulnerabilities in software. These

weaknesses are often easy to find and exploit.

They are dangerous because they allow adversaries to completely take over execution of software, steal data, or prevent the software from working

Rank	ID	Name	Score
[1]	<u>CWE-787</u>	Out-of-bounds Write	65.93
[2]	<u>CWE-79</u>	Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')	46.84
[3]	<u>CWE-125</u>	Out-of-bounds Read	24.9
[4]	<u>CWE-20</u>	Improper Input Validation	20.47
[5]	<u>CWE-78</u>	Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an OS Command ('OS Command Injection')	19.55
[6]	<u>CWE-89</u>	Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')	19.54
[7]	<u>CWE-416</u>	Use After Free	16.83
[8]	<u>CWE-22</u>	Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')	14.69
[9]	<u>CWE-352</u>	Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	14.46
[10]	<u>CWE-434</u>	Unrestricted Upload of File with Dangerous Type	8.45
[11]	<u>CWE-306</u>	Missing Authentication for Critical Function	7.93
[12]	<u>CWE-190</u>	Integer Overflow or Wraparound	7.12
[13]	<u>CWE-502</u>	Deserialization of Untrusted Data	6.71
[14]	<u>CWE-287</u>	Improper Authentication	6.58
[15]	<u>CWE-476</u>	NULL Pointer Dereference	6.54
[16]	<u>CWE-798</u>	Use of Hard-coded Credentials	6.27
[17]	<u>CWE-119</u>	Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer	5.84
[18]	<u>CWE-862</u>	Missing Authorization	5.47
[19]	<u>CWE-276</u>	Incorrect Default Permissions	5.09
[20]	<u>CWE-200</u>	Exposure of Sensitive Information to an Unauthorized Actor	4.74
[21]	<u>CWE-522</u>	Insufficiently Protected Credentials	4.21
[22]	<u>CWE-732</u>	Incorrect Permission Assignment for Critical Resource	4.2
[23]	<u>CWE-611</u>	Improper Restriction of XML External Entity Reference	4.02
[24]	<u>CWE-918</u>	Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF)	3.78
[25]	<u>CWE-77</u>	Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in a Command ('Command Injection')	3.58

https://owasp.org/Top10/

Welcome to the OWASP Top 10 - 2021



A01 Broken Access Control

A02 Cryptographic Failures A03 Injection A04 Insecure Design A05 Security Misconfiguration A06 Vulnerable and Outdated Components A07 Identification and Authentication Failures A08 Software and Data Integrity Failures A09 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures A10 Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF)

SDLC and Security

Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...
- + CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...

Design

- Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...
- + Threat modeling, + Attack surface analysis,...

Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")

- Source code control system, code reviews, daily builds, automated CASE tools...

+ Developer security training, + Secure code repositories + Static analysis, + Software Composition(Component) Analysis +,...



Cyber Security Skills Roadmap



Code Repositories

- Source Code Control System (SCCS) is a version control system designed to track changes in source code and other text files during the development of a piece of software
- A **Code Repository** is a term used by most of the different source control tools to refer to the collection of source code

Name 🗢	Code review	Bug tracking	Web hosting	Wiki ¢	Translation system	Shell server +	Mailing list +	Forum ¢	Personal repository	Private repository	♦ Announce ♦	Build system	¢ Team ¢	Release + binaries	Self-hosting 🗢
Assembla	Yes ^[22]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes ^[23]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	No
Azure DevOps Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Commercially (Azure DevOps Server)
Bitbucket	Yes ^[24]	Yes ^[a]	Yes ^[25]	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes ^[b]	No	Yes ^[28]	Yes	No ^[27]	Commercially (Bitbucket Server formerly Stash) ^[c]
Buddy	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ^[d]	Yes	Yes	Yes
CloudForge	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No
GForge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gitea	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Unknown	3rd-party (e.g. Travis CI, Appveyor and others) ^[28]	Yes	Unknown	Yes
GitHub	Yes ^[29]	Yes ^{[30][e]}	Yes ^[31]	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes ^[f]	Yes	3rd-party (e.g. Travis CI, Appveyor and others) ^[32]	Yes	Yes	Commercially (GitHub Enterprise)
GitLab	Yes ^[33]	Yes	Yes ^[34]	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ^[35]	Yes	Yes ^[36]	Yes ^[g]
GNU Savannah	Yes ^[37]	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No ^[38]	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Unknown	Yes
Helix TeamHub	Yes ^[39]	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, with hooks. Jenkins, TeamCity, etc.	No	Yes	Yes
java.net/Project Kenai	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	No
Kallithea	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Unknown	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Launchpad	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes ^[h]	Yes	Yes ^[1]	Yes	Unknown	Yes
OSDN	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Ourproject.org	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Yes
Phabricator	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes	Unknown	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Yes
RhodeCode	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Unknown	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
SourceForge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ^[]	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

Testing/Validation

NIST Special Publication 800-53B
Control Baselines for Information
Systems and Organizations
JOINT TASK FORCE
This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-538
October 2020 INCLUDES UPDATES AS OF 12-10-2020; SEE PAGE XI
as your or Content or
U.S. Department of Commerce Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., Secretary
National Institute of Standards and Technology Walter Copan, NIST Director and Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology

CNTL		RITY	INITIAL CONTROL BASELINES					
NO.	CONTROL NAME	PRIO	LOW	MOD	нісн			
	System and S	ervices	Acquisition					
SA-1	System and Services Acquisition Policy and Procedures	P1	SA-1	SA-1	SA-1			
SA-2	Allocation of Resources	P1	SA-2	SA-2	SA-2			
SA-3	System Development Life Cycle	P1	SA-3	SA-3	SA-3			
SA-4	Acquisition Process	P1	SA-4 (10)	SA-4 (1) (2) (9) (10)	SA-4 (1) (2) (9) (10)			
SA-5	Information System Documentation	P2	SA-5	SA-5	SA-5			
SA-8	Security Engineering Principles	P1	Not Selected	SA-8	SA-8			
SA-9	External Information System Services	P1	SA-9	SA-9 (2)	SA-9 (2)			
SA-10	Developer Configuration Management	P1	Not Selected	SA-10	SA-10			
SA-11	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation	P1	Not Selected	SA-11	SA-11			
SA-15	Development Process, Standards, and Tools	P2	Not Selected	Not Selected	SA-15			
SA-16	Developer-Provided Training	P2	Not Selected	Not Selected	SA-16			
SA-17	Developer Security Architecture and Design	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SA-17			

Assessing Security and Privacy Controls in Federal Information Systems and Organizations Building Effective Assessment Plans
JOINT TASK FORCE TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE
This publication is available free of charge from: http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53Ar4
December 2014 INCLUDES UPDATES AS OF 12-18-2014
Superior of Contents of the second se
U.S. Department of Commerce Penny Pritzker, Secretary

SA-11	DEVELOPE	R SECURITY T	R SECURITY TESTING AND EVALUATION							
	ASSESSME	ENT OBJECTIV	E:							
	Determine	e if the organ	ization:							
	SA-11(a)) requires the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service to create and implement a security plan;								
	SA-11(b)	SA-11(b)[1]	A-11(b)[1] defines the depth of testing/evaluation to be performed by the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service;							
		SA-11(b)[2]	defines the coverage of testing/evaluation to be performed by the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service;							
		SA-11(b)[3]	requires the de component, or of the followin depth and cove	eveloper of the information system, system information system service to perform one or more g testing/evaluation at the organization-defined erage:						
			SA-11(b)[3][a]	unit testing/evaluation;						
			SA-11(b)[3][b]	integration testing/evaluation;						
			SA-11(b)[3][c]	system testing/evaluation; and/or						
			SA-11(b)[3][d]	regression testing/evaluation;						
	SA-11(c)	requires the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service to produce evidence of:								
		SA-11(c)[1]	the execution of the security assessment plan;							
		SA-11(c)[2]	the results of the security testing/evaluation;							
	SA-11(d)	requires the information and	developer of the system service i	e information system, system component, or o implement a verifiable flaw remediation process;						
	SA-11(e)	requires the information testing/evalu	developer of the system service i uation.	e information system, system component, or to correct flaws identified during security						
	POTENTIA	L ASSESSMEN	T METHODS AND	OBJECTS:						
	Examine:	Examine: [SELECT FROM: System and services acquisition policy; procedures addressing system developer security testing; procedures addressing flaw remediation; solicitation documentation; acquisition documentation; service-level agreements; acquisition contracts for the information system, system component, or information system service; security testing results for the information system, system component, or information system service; security flaw and remediation tracking records: other relevant documents or records]								
	Interview:	[SELECT FROM: organizational p with developer	Organizational per personnel with info security testing re	sonnel with system and services acquisition responsibilities; ormation security responsibilities; organizational personnel sponsibilities; system developers].						
	Test: [SELE autor testin	ст FROM: Organ nated mechanis ig and evaluatio	nizational process sms supporting an on].	es for monitoring developer security testing and evaluation; d/or implementing the monitoring of developer security						

System Security Plan (SSP)

Provides a detailed specification of the security architecture of an information system

- SSP templates provide a framework for documenting the system's
 - Name, purpose, categorization
 - Environment, architecture
 - System responsibilities
 - Current status of the baseline controls required for the system



DOWNLOAD

AUTHORIZATION PHASE May 18, 2021

System Security Plan (SSP)

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) MODERATE BASELINE TEMPLATE

Cloud Service Provider Name Information System Name Version # Version Date



CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION

MIS 5206 Protecting Information Assets

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INFO	RMATION SYSTEM NAME/TITLE
2.	INFO	RMATION SYSTEM CATEGORIZATION1
	2.1.	Information Types1
	2.2.	Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)3
	2.3.	Digital Identity Determination
3.	INFO	RMATION SYSTEM OWNER4
4.	AUTH	ORIZING OFFICIAL4
5.	OTHE	R DESIGNATED CONTACTS5
6.	ASSIG	NMENT OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY
7.	INFO	RMATION SYSTEM OPERATIONAL STATUS7
8.	INFO	RMATION SYSTEM TYPE
	8.1.	Cloud Service Models7
	8.2.	Cloud Deployment Models
	8.3.	Leveraged Authorizations
9.	GENE	RAL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION9
	9.1.	System Function or Purpose
	9.2.	Information System Components and Boundaries10
	9.3.	Types of Users 11
	9.4.	Network Architecture 12
10.	SYSTE	IN ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY12
10.	5YSTE 10.1.	IN ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY
10.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2.	IN ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY
10. 11.	10.1. 10.2. SYSTE	M ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 M INTERCONNECTIONS 16
10. 11. 12.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS	IM ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 IM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 i, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18
10. 11. 12.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 K, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18
10. 11. 12.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 , REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINIE	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 IM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 I, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINIE 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 EM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 i, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MMENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 EM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 i, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINIE 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 SMINTERCONNECTIONS 16 IN INTERCONNECTIONS 16 IN REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Olicy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 27
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 K, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 27 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 27 AC-2 (L) Control Enhancement (M) (H) 29
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow. 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 r, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 VUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 27 AC-2 (L) Control Enhancement (M) (H) 29 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow. 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 IN INTERCONNECTIONS 16 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 (1) Control Enhancement (M) (H) 29 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (4) Control Enhancement (M) 30
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MM ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 EM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 IM INTERCONNECTIONS 16 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 27 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 31 AC-2 (5) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (5) Control Enhancement (M) 32
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINIE 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 KI INTERCONNECTIONS 16 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 27 AC-2 (1) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (4) Control Enhancement (M) 31 AC-2 (5) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (7) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (8) Control Enhancement (8)
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINIE 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow. 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 KI INTERCONNECTIONS 16 K, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 Ac1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 30 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 31 AC-2 (4) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 34 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 34
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSTE 10.1. 10.2. SYSTE LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MINIFORMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 , REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 30 AC-2 (1) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 31 AC-2 (5) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (10) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (1
10. 11. 12. 13.	SYSH 10.1. 10.2. SYSTH LAWS 12.1. 12.2. MINII 13.1.	MENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY 12 Data Flow 14 Ports, Protocols and Services 14 MINTERCONNECTIONS 16 is, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE 18 Applicable Laws and Regulations 18 Applicable Standards and Guidance 18 MUM SECURITY CONTROLS 19 Access Control (AC) 26 AC-1 Access Control Policy and Procedures Requirements (L) (M) 26 AC-2 Account Management (L) (M) 27 AC-2 (1) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (2) Control Enhancement (M) 30 AC-2 (3) Control Enhancement (M) 31 AC-2 (5) Control Enhancement (M) 32 AC-2 (9) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (10) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (10) Control Enhancement (M) 33 AC-2 (10) Control Enhancement (M) 34 AC-2 (10) Control Enhancement (M) 35 AC-2



SA-11	DEVELOPE	R SECURITY T	ESTING AND EVA	LUATION				
	ASSESSME	ENT OBJECTIVE	:					
	Determine	e if the organi	ization:					
	SA-11(a) requires the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service to create and implement a security plan;							
	SA-11(b)	SA-11(b)[1]	defines the depth of testing/evaluation to be performed by the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service;					
		SA-11(b)[2]	defines the coverage of testing/evaluation to be performed by the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service; requires the developer of the information system, system component, or information system service to perform one or more of the following testing/evaluation at the organization-defined depth and coverage:					
		SA-11(b)[3]						
			SA-11(b)[3][a]	unit testing/evaluation;				
			SA-11(b)[3][b]	integration testing/evaluation;				
			SA-11(b)[3][c]	system testing/evaluation; and/or				
			SA-11(b)[3][d]	regression testing/evaluation;				
	SA-11(c)	requires the information	developer of th system service	e information system, system component, or to produce evidence of:				
		SA-11(c)[1]	the execution	of the security assessment plan;				
		SA-11(c)[2]	the results of t	he security testing/evaluation;				
	SA-11(d)	requires the information and	developer of th system service	e information system, system component, or to implement a verifiable flaw remediation process;				
	SA-11(e)	requires the information testing/evalu	developer of th system service lation.	e information system, system component, or to correct flaws identified during security				
	POTENTIA	L ASSESSMEN	T METHODS AND	OBJECTS:				
	Examine: [SELECT FROM: System and services acquisition policy; procedures addressing system developer security testing; procedures addressing flaw remediation; solicitation documentation; acquisition documentation; service-level agreements; acquisition contracts the information system, system component, or information system service; system develop security test plans; records of developer security testing results for the information system, system component, or information system service; security flaw and remediation tracking records; other relevant documents or records].							
	Interview:	[SELECT FROM: organizational p with developer	Organizational per personnel with info security testing re	rsonnel with system and services acquisition responsibilities; prmation security responsibilities; organizational personnel sponsibilities; system developers].				
	Test: [SELE autor testin	<i>CT FROM:</i> Organ nated mechanis ng and evaluatio	nizational process sms supporting an on].	es for monitoring developer security testing and evaluation; d/or implementing the monitoring of developer security				

Software Application Testing

- A test plan is developed during the analysis phase
- During the design phase, unit, system and integration test plans are developed
- The actual testing is done during implementation
- Written test plans provide improved communication among all parties involved in testing







DRAFT NIST Special Publication 800-53A

Revision 5

SA-

National Institute of Standards and Technology James K. Olthoff, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology & Director, National Institute of Standards and Technology

1(01)	DEVELOPER TESTING AND EVALUATION STATIC CODE ANALYSIS								
	ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE: Determine if:								
	SA-11(01)[01]	the developer of the system, system component, or system service is required to employ static code analysis tools to identify common flaws;							
	SA-11(01)[02]	the developer of the system, system component, or system service is required to employ static code analysis tools to document the results of the analysis.							

Application Security Testing (AST)

"Static AST (SAST) technology analyzes an application's source, bytecode or binary code for security vulnerabilities typically at the programming and/or testing software life cycle (SLC) phases." White-box testing

"Software composition analysis (SCA) technology is used to identify open-source and third-party components in use in an application, and their known security vulnerabilities."

"...end-user spending reaching \$2.6 billion in 2021, a 20% yearover-year increase. ...we expect worldwide AST end-user spending to exceed \$3.1 billion in 2022 "



Gardner, D., Horvath, M., Zumerle, D. (2022), "Magic Quadrant for Application Security Testing", Gartner

SDLC and Security

Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...
- + CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...

Design

- Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...
- + Threat modeling, + Attack surface analysis,...

Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")

- Source code control system, code reviews, daily builds, automated CASE tools...
- + Developer security training, + Static analysis, + Secure code repositories,...

Testing/Validation

- Unit testing and integration testing (daily builds), manual and regression testing, user acceptance testing
- + Dynamic analysis, + Fuzzing,...

Application Security Testing (AST)

"Static AST (SAST) technology analyzes an application's source, bytecode or binary code for security vulnerabilities typically at the programming and/or testing software life cycle (SLC) phases." White-box testing

"Software composition analysis (SCA) technology is used to identify open-source and third-party components in use in an application, and their known security vulnerabilities."

"Dynamic AST (DAST) technology analyzes applications in their dynamic, running state during testing or operational phases. DAST simulates attacks against an application (typically webenabled applications and services), analyzes the application's reactions and, thus, determines whether it is vulnerable."

Black-box testing



Gardner, D., Horvath, M., Zumerle, D. (2022), "Magic Quadrant for Application Security Testing", Gartner

Burp Scanner Sample Report

Summary

The table below shows the numbers of issues identified in different categories. Issues are classified according to severity as High, Medium, Low or Information. This reflects the likely impact of each issue for a typical organization. Issues are also classified according to confidence as Certain, Firm or Tentative. This reflects the inherent reliability of the technique that was used to identify the issue.



The chart below shows the aggregated numbers of issues identified in each category. Solid colored bars represent issues with a confidence level of Certain, and the bars fade as the confidence level falls.



MIS 5206 Protecting Information Assets

Application Security Testing result reports

 Applications should not accepted until <u>all</u> <u>high and medium</u> <u>issues resolved!</u>

Executive Summary		
Issue Types 32		
Issue Type	Number of Issues	
H Authentication Bypass Using SQL Injection	1	
H Blind SQL Injection	1	
H Cross-Site Scripting	11	
H DOM Based Cross-Site Scripting	3	
H Poison Null Byte Windows Files Retrieval	1	
H Predictable Login Credentials	1	_հ
H SQL Injection	12	
H Unencrypted Login Request	6	
H XPath Injection	1	
M Cross-Site Request Forgery	6	
M Directory Listing	2	
M HTTP Response Splitting	1	
M Inadequate Account Lockout	1	
Link Injection (facilitates Cross-Site Request Forgery)	6	
M Open Redirect	2	
M Phishing Through Frames	6	
Session Identifier Not Updated	1	
Autocomplete HTML Attribute Not Disabled for Password Field	4	
Database Error Pattern Found	16	
L Direct Access to Administration Pages	2	
Email Address Pattern Found in Parameter Value	2	
L Hidden Directory Detected	3	
L Microsoft ASP.NET Debugging Enabled	3	
L Missing HttpOnly Attribute in Session Cookie	4	
Permanent Cookie Contains Sensitive Session Information	1	
UnencryptedVIEWSTATE Parameter	4	
L UnsignedVIEWSTATE Parameter	4	
1 Application Error	15	
Application Test Script Detected	1	
Email Address Pattern Found	3	
HTML Comments Sensitive Information Disclosure	5	
Possible Server Path Disclosure Pattern Found	1	

Application Security Testing result reports

 Applications should not accepted until <u>all high and</u> <u>medium issues resolved!</u>

Automated application security testing tools often provide vulnerability reports

Web Application Report

This report includes important security information about your web application.

Security Report This report was created by IBM Security AppScan Standard 8.8.0.0, Rules: 1696 Scan started: 9/8/2016 9:29:09 AM This report contains the results of a web application security scan performed by IBM Security AppScan Standard.

High severity issues:	79
Medium severity issues:	198
Total security issues included in the report:	277
Total security issues discovered in the scan:	308

Application Security Assessment and Fix Recommendations

TOC

Fix Recommendations (1)

Issue Types 🛛

	Issue Type	Number of Issues
Н	Authentication Bypass Using HTTP Verb Tampering	3
Н	Cross-Site Request Forgery	23
Н	Cross-Site Scripting	2
н	Microsoft FrontPage Extensions Site Defacement	3
н	Missing Secure Attribute in Encrypted Session (SSL) Cookie	5
Н	RC4 cipher suites were detected	1
М	Alternate Version of File Detected	45
М	Body Parameters Accepted in Query	9
Μ	Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (a.k.a. BEAST)	1
М	Cacheable SSL Page Found	67
М	Direct Access to Administration Pages	1
М	Drupal "keys" Path Disclosure	1
Μ	Insecure "OPTIONS" HTTP Method Enabled	1
М	Microsoft FrontPage Server Extensions Vital Information Leakage	2
Μ	Microsoft IIS Missing Host Header Information Leakage	1
М	Missing "Content-Security-Policy" header	5
М	Missing Cross-Frame Scripting Defence	4
М	Query Parameter in SSL Request	185
Μ	Temporary File Download	3
Μ	UnencryptedVIEWSTATE Parameter	20
Μ	Web Application Source Code Disclosure Pattern Found	1

	Remediation Task	Number of Issues
Н	Review possible solutions for hazardous character injection	2
М	Add the 'Secure' attribute to all sensitive cookies	5
М	Change server's supported ciphersuites	2
М	Configure your server to allow only required HTTP methods	3
М	Set proper permissions to the FrontPage extension files	3
М	Validate the value of the "Referer" header, and use a one-time-nonce for each submitted form	23
L	Always use SSL and POST (body) parameters when sending sensitive information.	185
L	Apply configuration changes according to Q218180	1
L	Apply proper authorization to administration scripts	1
L	Config your server to use the "Content-Security-Policy" header	5
L	Config your server to use the "X-Frame-Options" header	4
L	Contact the vendor of your product to see if a patch or a fix has been made available recently	1
L	Disable WebDAV, or disallow unneeded HTTP methods	1
L	Do not accept body parameters that are sent in the query string	9
L	Modify FrontPage extension file permissions to avoid information leakage	2
L	Modify your Web.Config file to encrypt the VIEWSTATE parameter	20
L	Prevent caching of SSL pages by adding "Cache-Control: no-store" and "Pragma: no-cache" headers to their responses.	67
L	Remove old versions of files from the virtual directory	48
L	Remove source code files from your web-server and apply any relevant patches	1

TOC

This report contains the results of a web application security scan performed by IBM Security AppScan Standard.

High severity issues:	79
Medium severity issues:	198
Total security issues included in the report:	277
Total security issues discovered in the scan:	308

Application Security Vulnerability Assessment Report

Issues Sorted by Issue Type

- Authentication Bypass Using SQL Injection 2
- Blind SQL Injection 4
- Cross-Site Request Forgery 24
- Cross-Site Scripting 3
- HTTP PUT Method Site Defacement 20
- Inadequate Account Lockout 1
- Microsoft FrontPage Extensions Site Defacement 3
- Missing Secure Attribute in Encrypted Session (SSL) Cookie 1
- Phishing Through URL Redirection 1
- WebDAV MKCOL Method Site Defacement 20
- Alternate Version of File Detected 50
- Cacheable SSL Page Found 26
- Hidden Directory Detected
- Microsoft FrontPage Configuration Information Leakage 1
- Microsoft FrontPage Server Extensions Vital Information Leakage 2
- Microsoft IIS Missing Host Header Information Leakage 1
- Query Parameter in SSL Request 66
- Temporary File Download 32
- Unencrypted __VIEWSTATE Parameter 11
- Web Application Source Code Disclosure Pattern Found 2

IBM AppScan example

Advisories

- Authentication Bypass Using SQL Injection
- Blind SQL Injection
- Cross-Site Request Forgery
- Cross-Site Scripting
- HTTP PUT Method Site Defacement
- Inadequate Account Lockout
- Microsoft FrontPage Extensions Site Defacement
- Missing Secure Attribute in Encrypted Session (SSL) Cookie
- Phishing Through URL Redirection
- WebDAV MKCOL Method Site Defacement
- Alternate Version of File Detected
- Cacheable SSL Page Found
- Hidden Directory Detected
- Microsoft FrontPage Configuration Information Leakage
- Microsoft FrontPage Server Extensions Vital Information Leakage
- Microsoft IIS Missing Host Header Information Leakage
- Query Parameter in SSL Request
- Temporary File Download
- Unencrypted __VIEWSTATE Parameter
- Web Application Source Code Disclosure Pattern Found



Reasoning: The test result seems to indicate a vulnerability because when four types of request were sent - a valid login, an invalid login, an SQL attack, and another invalid login - the responses to the two invalid logins were the same, while the response to the SQL attack seems similar the response to the valid login.

Issue 2 of 2

 Authentication Bypass Using SQL Injection

 Severity:
 High

 URL:
 https://www.commeter/

 Password (Parameter)

 Risk:
 It may be possible to bypass the web application's authentication mechanism

 Causes:
 Sanitation of hazardous characters was not performed correctly on user input

 Fib:
 Review possible solutions for hazardous character injection

TOC

Reasoning: The test result seems to indicate a vulnerability because when four types of request were sent - a valid login, an invalid login, an SQL attack, and another invalid login - the responses to the two invalid logins were the same, while the response to the SQL attack seems similar the response to the valid login.

Authentication Bypass Using SQL Injection

Test Type: Application-level test

Threat Classification:

Insufficient Authentication

Causes: Sanitation of hazardous characters was not performed correctly on user input

Security Risks: It may be possible to bypass the web application's authentication mechanism

Affected Products:

CWE: 566

References: "Web Application Disassembly with ODBC Error Messages" (By David Litchfield) SQL Injection Training Module

Technical Description:

The application uses a protection mechanism that relies on the existence or values of an input, but the input can be modified by an untrusted user in a way that bypasses the protection mechanism.

When security decisions such as authentication and authorization are made based on the values of user input, attackers can bypass the security of the software.

Suppose the query in question is:

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM accounts WHERE username='\$user' AND password='\$pass'

Where Suser and Spass are user input (collected from the HTTP request which invoked the script that constructs the query - either from a GET request query parameters, or from a POST request body parameters). A regular usage of this query would be with values \$user=john, \$password=secret123. The query formed would be:

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM accounts WHERE username='john' AND password='secret123'

The expected query result is 0 if no such user+password pair exists in the database, and >0 if such pair exists (i.e. there is a user named 'john' in the database, whose password is 'secret123'). This would serve as a basic authentication mechanism for the application. But an attacker can bypass this mechanism by submitting the following values: \$user=john, \$password=' OR '1'='1.

TOC

Technical Description:

The application uses a protection mechanism that relies on the existence or values of an input, but the input can be modified by an untrusted user in a way that bypasses the protection mechanism.

When security decisions such as authentication and authorization are made based on the values of user input, attackers can bypass the security of the software.

Suppose the query in question is:

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM accounts WHERE username='\$user' AND password='\$pass'

Where \$user and \$pass are user input (collected from the HTTP request which invoked the script that constructs the query - either from a GET request query parameters, or from a POST request body parameters). A regular usage of this query would be with values \$user=john, \$password=secret123. The query formed would be:

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM accounts WHERE username='john' AND password='secret123'

The expected query result is 0 if no such user+password pair exists in the database, and >0 if such pair exists (i.e. there is a user named 'john' in the database, whose password is 'secret123'). This would serve as a basic authentication mechanism for the application. But an attacker can bypass this mechanism by submitting the following values: \$user=john, \$password=' OR '1'='1.

The resulting query is:



This means that the query (in the SQL database) will return TRUE for the user 'john', since the expression 1=1 is always true. Therefore, the query will return a positive number, and thus the user (attacker) will be considered valid without having to know the password.

SDLC and Security

Requirements analysis

- Informational, functional, behavioral, and performance specifications...
- + CIA risk assessment, + Risk-level acceptance,...

Design

- Data models and data dictionary, work process and status transition models, input/output models, data flow models, flow of control models...
- + Threat modeling, + Attack surface analysis,...

Develop ("make") / Implement ("buy")

- Source code control system, code reviews, daily builds, automated CASE tools...
- + Developer security training, + Static analysis, + Secure code repositories,...

Testing/Validation

- Unit testing and integration testing (daily builds), manual and regression testing, user acceptance testing
- + Dynamic analysis, + Fuzzing,...

Release/Maintenance

- Release testing
- + Separation of duties, +Change management, +Operational practices...

Test Taking Tip

Focus on addressing each question individually

- As you take the test, if you don't know an answer, don't obsess over it
- Answer the best way you can or skip over the question and come back to it after you've answered other questions

Quiz

- 1. A development team has developed and is currently maintaining a customer-facing web application which is hosted at their regional office versus at the central data center. The GREATEST risk in this scenario is that:
 - a. Additional traffic of the web site would slow down Internet access for the regional office
 - b. Development team may lack the expertise and staffing to manage and maintain a hosted application environment
 - c. Regional office may not have the same level of fire detection and suppression that exists at the main data center
 - d. Regional office may not have a firewall or network that is sufficiently secure for a web server
- 1. A development team has developed and is currently maintaining a customer-facing web application which is hosted at their regional office versus at the central data center. The GREATEST risk in this scenario is that:
 - a. Additional traffic of the web site would slow down Internet access for the regional office
 - b. Development team may lack the expertise and staffing to manage and maintain a hosted application environment
 - c. Regional office may not have the same level of fire detection and suppression that exists at the main data center
 - d. Regional office may not have a firewall or network that is sufficiently secure for a web server

MIS

- 2. Which of the following is the GREATEST risk to the effectiveness of application system controls?
 - a. Removal of manual processing steps
 - b. Inadequate procedure manuals
 - c. Collusion between employees
 - d. Unresolved regulatory compliance issues
- 2. Which of the following is the GREATEST risk to the effectiveness of application system controls?
 - a. Removal of manual processing steps
 - b. Inadequate procedure manuals
 - c. Collusion between employees
 - d. Unresolved regulatory compliance issues

- 3. A business application system accesses a corporate database using a single ID and password embedded in a program. Which of the following would provide efficient access control over the organization's data?
 - a. Introduce a secondary authentication method such as a card swipe
 - b. Apply role-based permissions within the application system
 - c. Have users input the ID and password for each database transaction
 - d. Set an expiration period for the database password embedded in the program
- 3. A business application system accesses a corporate database using a single ID and password embedded in a program. Which of the following would provide efficient access control over the organization's data?
 - a. Introduce a secondary authentication method such as a card swipe
 - b. Apply role-based permissions within the application system
 - c. Have users input the ID and password for each database transaction
 - d. Set an expiration period for the database password embedded in the program

- 4. An Information System (IS) auditor finds that a database administrator (DBA) has read and write access to production data. The IS auditor should:
 - a. Accept the DBA access as a common practice
 - b. Assess the controls relevant to the DBA function
 - c. Recommend the immediate revocation of the DBA access to production data
 - d. Review user access authorizations approved by the DBA
- 4. An Information System (IS) auditor finds that a database administrator (DBA) has read and write access to production data. The IS auditor should:
 - a. Accept the DBA access as a common practice
 - b. Assess the controls relevant to the DBA function
 - c. Recommend the immediate revocation of the DBA access to production data
 - d. Review user access authorizations approved by the DBA

- 5. Inadequate programming and coding practices introduce the risk of:
 - a. Phishing
 - b. Buffer overflow exploitation
 - c. Denial of service attack through synchronization (SYN) flood
 - d. Brute force attacks
 - 5. Inadequate programming and coding practices introduce the risk of:
 - a. Phishing
 - b. Buffer overflow exploitation
 - c. Denial of service attack through synchronization (SYN) flood
 - d. Brute force attacks

- 6. Which of the following is a control that can be implemented if application programmers are allowed to move programs into the production environment in a small organization?
 - a. Independent post-implementation testing
 - b. Independent review of the changed program
 - c. Independent review of user requirements
 - d. Independent review of user acceptance
- 6. Which of the following is a control that can be implemented if application programmers are allowed to move programs into the production environment in a small organization?
 - a. Independent post-implementation testing
 - b. Independent review of the changed program
 - c. Independent review of user requirements
 - d. Independent review of user acceptance

- 7. Which of the following groups would create MOST concern to an IS auditor if they have direct full access to the production database?
 - a. Application testers
 - b. System administrators
 - c. The database owner
 - d. The data recovery team
- 7. Which of the following groups would create MOST concern to an IS auditor if they have direct full access to the production database?
 - a. Application testers
 - b. System administrators
 - c. The database owner
 - d. The data recovery team

Agenda

- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Software development life cycle (SDLC)
- ✓ SDLC and security
- ✓ Test taking tip
- ✓ Quiz