Unit #1a MIS5214

System Security Plan

Agenda

- Threat Modeling Exercise
- Information Systems some definitions
- Conceptual models of information systems
- NIST Risk Management Framework
- FIPS 199 Security Categorization
- Transforming qualitative risk assessment into quantitative risk assessment
- FedRAMP System Security Plan overview
 - NIST 800-53 Security controls
 - Role of FIPS 199 in selecting a security control baseline
 - NIST 800-18 classification of security control families

<u>Automotive Security</u> example

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MK0SrxBC1xs

Modern cars are computer networks on wheels, with most have many computers that control various aspects of the car

Two hackers developed a tool that can hijack a Jeep over the internet. WIRED senior writer Andy Greenberg takes the SUV for a spin on the highway while the hackers attack it from miles away.

University of Washington Security Cards

A security threat brainstorming activity – find threat modeling cards here:

Break up into teams:

- Pretend you are security professionals
 - A car company tasked you with thinking through the security implications of the modern car computer systems
- Start with the <u>blue suit of cards ("Human Impact")</u>, consider what impacts to people would result if an attacker misused modern car systems like the attack you just witnessed
 - Either think about one car, or think about the entire car product line
 - Rank order the cards from most relevant
 - Explain your 3 top choices

University of Washington Security Cards

A security threat brainstorming activity

- Next move onto the orange "Adversary Motivation" suit
- Consider what motivations adversaries might have for attacking modern car systems
 - Either think about one car, or think about the entire car product line
 - Rank order the adversary motivations from most relevant to least
 - Explain your 3 top choices

University of Washington Security Cards

A security threat brainstorming activity

- Next move onto the red "Adversary's Resources" suit
- Consider what resources adversaries might have for attacking modern car systems
 - Either think about one car, or think about the entire car product line
 - Rank order the cards from most relevant
 - Explain your 3 top choices

STRIDE

Threat model created by Microsoft, based on 6 types of threats:

- 1. **Spoofing** Can an attacker gain access using a false identity?
- 2. Tampering Can an attacker modify data as it follows through the application?
- 3. Repudiation If an attacker denies doing something, can we prove he/she did it?
- **4.** <u>Information disclosure</u> Can an attacker gain access to private or potentially injurious data?
- 5. <u>Denial of service</u> Can an attacker crash or reduce the availability of the system?
- **6. Elevation of privilege** Can an attacker assume the identify of a privileged user?

STRIDE Threat Modeling

A security threat brainstorming activity

- Set aside the cards, and use the STRIDE model
- Consider what methods adversaries might use for attacking modern car systems
 - 1. Either think about one car, or think about the entire car product line
 - 2. Rank order the threats from most relevant
 - 3. Explain your 3 top choices


Threat	Desired property
Spoofing	Authenticity
Tampering	Integrity
Repudiation	Non-repudiability
Information disclosure	Confidentiality
Denial of Service	Availability
Elevation of Privilege	Authorization


Threat Modeling

• Can be a full-time job for cyber security professionals

Is now a skill information systems designers, developers and

architects need to have






Agenda

- √ Threat Modeling Exercise
- Information Systems some definitions
- Conceptual models of information systems
- NIST Risk Management Framework
- FIPS 199 Security Categorization
- Transforming qualitative risk assessment into quantitative risk assessment
- FedRAMP System Security Plan overview
 - NIST 800-53 Security controls
 - Role of FIPS 199 in selecting a security control baseline
 - NIST 800-18 classification of security control families

Information Systems – some definitions

- Data Structure is a particular way of organizing data in a computer so that it can be manipulated by an algorithm
- **Algorithm** is a step-by-step procedure in a computer program for solving a problem or accomplishing a goal
- **Programs** = Algorithms + Data Structures
- Software are programs used to direct the operation of a computer
- Hardware are tangible physical parts of a computer system and IT network
- Firmware is software embedded in a piece of hardware
- Information systems are software and hardware systems that support data-intensive applications
- Enterprise information system is an information system which enable an organization to integrate and improve its business functions



Information System Architecture

- Is an abstraction that provides the "big picture" goals for the system
 - Guides the development process, answering questions including:
 - How is it going to be used?
 - What environment will it work within?
 - What type of security and protection is required?
 - What does it need to be able to communicate with?
 - Describes the major components of the system and how they interact with each other, with the users, and with other systems


What is meant by the term "abstraction"?

 A fundamental human capability that enables us to deal with complexity

Its purpose is to limit the universe so we can do things

Selective examination of certain aspects of a problem


- Its goal is the purposeful isolation of important aspects and suppression of unimportant aspects (i.e. omitting details)
 - Purpose determines what is and what is not important
 - All abstractions are incomplete and inaccurate but this is their power and does not limit their usefulness
- Many different abstractions of the same thing are possible
 - Depending on the purpose for which they are made The problem solving context explains the source of their intent



What is a conceptual model?

Conceptual Mode

- An abstraction of things for the purpose of understanding them
- Enables dealing with systems that are too complex to understand directly
- Omits nonessential details making them easier to manipulate than the original entities
 - The human mind can cope with only a limited amount of information at one time
 - Models reduce complexity by separating out a small number of important things to deal with at a time
- Aids understanding complex systems by enabling visualization and communication of different aspects expressed as individual models ("views") using precise notations
 - Communicate an understanding of content, organization and function of a system
 - Useful for verifying that the system meets requirements
 - To be relied on, models must be validated by comparison to the implemented system to assure they accurately represent and document the implemented system
- Serves several purposes
 - Testing a physical entity before building it
 - Communicating a shared understanding of the system with stakeholders, users, developers, information system auditors and testers



Models help us understand Information Systems... and how to defend them...

Models are ways to describe reality

Model quality depends on skill of model designers and qualities of the selected model


Building blocks of models is a small collection of abstraction mechanisms

- Classification
- Aggregation
- Generalization
- Can you think of any others?

Abstractions help the designer understand, classify, and model reality


Classification

 An abstraction used to define one concept as a class of real-world objects characterized by common properties



Aggregation


An aggregation abstraction defines a new composite class from a set of other classes that represent it components



Classification and Aggregation

Are the two basic abstractions used for


- Building data structures within databases and programming languages
- Building and organizing computational processes within applications
- Building and organizing applications within systems
- Building and organizing minor systems and applications within major systems





consolidation methodology "view integration"

model integration achieved by:


- 1. Identifying,
- 2. Resolving, and
- 3. Consolidating
 - Commonalities (and synonyms)
 and
 - Differences (and homonyms)









Information models from disparate business units








Generalization

- A generalization abstraction defines a subset relationship between elements of two more classes
- In generalization, all the abstractions defined for the generic class (super-class) are inherited by all the subset classes (sub-class)


 $Datasets = \{Dataset_i : i = source, derived\},\$

 $Dataset_{derived} = \{Dataset_{derived,k} : k = intermediate, product\}.$




Data lineage metadata model


Generalization enables partitioning objects and structuring common properties and methods




Example of generalizations of different types of datasets





Data Lineage Metadata System






Conceptual models of information system design and development...






Database design

Models help us understand enterprise information systems and their security



Horatio Huxham's BITS

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enterprise_informatio



The Open Data Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) Version 9.1

Sherwood Applied Business Security
Architecture

https://www.opengroup.org/architecture/togaf91/downloads.htm


http://www.sabsa.org/white_paper

Enterprise architecture consists of:


- **Business Architecture**
- Information Architecture
- Technology Architecture
- **Security Architecture**

Horatio Huxham's BITS


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enterprise_informatio n_security_architecture



Business Architecture





Board of Directors



The Open Data Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) Version 9.1


Information Architecture







TOGAF Content Metamodel

Information Architecture



Conceptual models of Information Systems







Content &
Structure ecurity Architecture

Function & Use

Conceptual models of Information Systems



Information Systems – Models of Information Flows



Important Security Architecture Model:


Defense in Depth

Also known as:

Layered Security

We will studying elements of layered security moving forward...












In-Class Exercise: Draw an N-Tier Architecture for a Web-Based System

- Consider the purpose and contents of a web-based system for managing the data of public utilities for a small town
- Identify who the users are
- Using what you learned in the video, draw an N-Tier Architecture for the web-based system

https://app.diagrams.net/

Agenda

- √ Threat Modeling Exercise
- ✓ Information Systems some definitions
- ✓ Conceptual models of information systems
- NIST Risk Management Framework
- FIPS 199 Security Categorization
- Transforming qualitative risk assessment into quantitative risk assessment
- FedRAMP System Security Plan overview
 - NIST 800-53 Security controls
 - Role of FIPS 199 in selecting a security control baseline
 - NIST 800-18 classification of security control families



This publication is available free of charge from: http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r1

NIST Special Publication 800-37

Guide for Applying the Risk Management Framework to Federal Information Systems

A Security Life Cycle Approach

JOINT TASK FORCE TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology

http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r1


February 2010

INCLUDES UPDATES AS OF 06-05-2014: PAGE IX




U.S. Department of Commerce Gary Locke, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology Patrick D. Gallagher, Director



MIS 5214 Security Architecture

41



FIPS 199: Qualitative risk assessment based on security

objectives

FIPS PUB 199

FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION

Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8900

February 2004



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Donald L. Evans, Secretary

TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION
Phillip J. Bond, Under Secretary for Technology

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

Arden L. Bement, Jr., Direct

	POTENTIAL IMPACT			
Security Objective	LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
Confidentiality Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]	The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a limited adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a serious adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a severe or catastrophic adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	
Integrity Guarding against improper information modification or destruction, and includes ensuring information non-repudiation and authenticity. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]	The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a limited adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a serious adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a severe or catastrophic adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	
Availability Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]	The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a limited adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a serious adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a severe or catastrophic adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.	

What are the security categorizations of these datasets?

Dataset	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability	Impact Rating
Communication	High	Moderate	Moderate	
Electric	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	
Traffic control	Low	Low	Low	
Comm_Electric Geodatabase				
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Moderate	Low	
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low	Low	
Storm Collection System	Low	Low	Low	
Water_Sewer Geodatabase				
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Low	Low	

FIPS Pub 199 Standards for Security Categorization

Low: Limited adverse effect

Medium: Serious adverse effect

High: Severe or catastrophic adverse effect

The generalized format for expressing the security category, SC, of an information system is:

```
SC information system = {(confidentiality, impact), (integrity, impact), (availability, impact)}, where the acceptable values for potential impact are LOW, MODERATE, or HIGH.
```

Example with multiple information types:

```
SC contract information = {(confidentiality, MODERATE), (integrity, MODERATE), (availability, LOW)}, = MODERATE rating
```

and

```
SC administrative information = {(confidentiality, LOW), (integrity, LOW), (availability, LOW)}. = LOW rating
```

The resulting security category of the information system is expressed as:

```
SC acquisition system = {(confidentiality, MODERATE), (integrity, MODERATE), (availability, LOW)}, = MODERATE rating
```

What is the overall impact ratings of the datasets?

Dataset	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability	Impact Rating
Communication	High	Moderate	Moderate	High
Electric	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Traffic control	Low	Low	Low	Low
Comm_Electric Geodatabase				
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Storm Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Water_Sewer Geodatabase				
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Low	Low	Low

What is the overall Information System impact rating?

System - Critical Infrastructure Information

Dataset	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability	Impact Rating
Communication	High	Moderate	Moderate	High
Electric	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Traffic control	Low	Low	Low	Low
Comm_Electric Geodatabase	High	Moderate	Moderate	High
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Storm Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Water_Sewer Geodatabase	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Low	Low	Low



How would you transform these ordinal impact ratings into quantitative risk measures?

System - Critical Infrastructure Information				
Dataset	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability	Impact Rating
Communication	High	Moderate	Moderate	High
Electric	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Traffic control	Low	Low	Low	Low
Comm_Electric Geodatabase	High	Moderate	Moderate	High
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Storm Collection System	Low	Low	Low	Low
Water_Sewer Geodatabase	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Low	Low	Low

How would you quantify risk to prioritize asset types for cost-effective information security protection?

Dataset	Impact Rating	Likelihood
Communication	High	High
Electric	Moderate	Low
Traffic control	Low	Low
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Low
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low
Storm Collection System	Low	Low
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Moderate

Hint:

NIST Special Publication 800-100

Information Security Handbook: A Guide for Managers

National Institute of Standards and Technology Technology Administration

U.S. Department of Commerce

Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Pauline Bowen Joan Hash Mark Wilson

INFORMATION SECURITY

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

October 2006



U.S. Department of Commerce

Carlos M. Gutierrez, Secretary

Technology Administration Robert Cresanti, Under Secretary of Commerce for Technology

National Institute of Standards and Technology William Jeffrey, Director

Litelihood REK Impaci		Impact	
Threat Likelihood	Low (10)	Moderate (50)	High (100)
High (1.0)	10 x 1.0 = 10	50 x 1.0 = 50	100 x 1.0 = 100
Moderate (0.5)	10 x 0.5 = 5	50 x 0.5 = 25	100 x 0.5 = 50
Low (0.1)	10 x 0.1 = 1	50 x 0.1 = 5	100 x 0.1 = 10

Transformation of ordinal qualitative risk categories to interval quantitative risk measures

Likelihood RSK Impact		Impact	
Threat Likelihood	Low (10)	Moderate (50)	High (100)
High (1.0)	10 x 1.0 = 10	50 x 1.0 = 50	100 x 1.0 = 100
Moderate (0.5)	10 x 0.5 = 5	50 x 0.5 = 25	100 x 0.5 = 50
Low (0.1)	10 x 0.1 = 1	50 x 0.1 = 5	100 x 0.1 = 10

Risk Scale: High (>50 to 100)

Moderate (>10 to 50)

Low (1 to 10)

01527a

Requires the risk analyst to contribute additional information to move ordinal onto interval scale...

NIST SP 800-100 "Information Security Handbook: A Guide for Managers", page 99

Solution

Dataset	Impact Rating	Likelihood
Communication	High	High
Electric	Moderate	Low
Traffic control	Low	Low
Water Distribution System	Moderate	Low
Sanitary Collection System	Low	Low
Storm Collection System	Low	Low
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	Low	Moderate



Lindbeed Rick Impact		Impact	
Threat Likelihood	Low (10)	Moderate (50)	High (100)
High (1.0)	10 x 1.0 = 10	50 x 1.0 = 50	100 x 1.0 = 100
Moderate (0.5)	10 x 0.5 = 5	50 x 0.5 = 25	100 x 0.5 = 50
Low (0.1)	10 x 0.1 = 1	50 x 0.1 = 5	100 x 0.1 = 10
			1

Risk Scale: High (>50 to 100)

Moderate (>10 to 50)


Low (1 to 10)


= ?

Dataset	Impact Rating	Likelihood	Risk
Communication	100	1	100
Electric	50	0.1	5
Traffic control	10	0.1	1
Comm_Electric Geodatabase	High		
			0
Water Distribution System	50	0.1	5
Sanitary Collection System	10	0.1	1
Storm Collection System	10	0.1	1
Water_Sewer Geodatabase	Moderate	0.1	
			0
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	10	0.5	5

Dataset	Impact Rating	Likelihood	Risk
Communication	100	1	100
Electric	50	0.1	5
Water Distribution System	50	0.1	5
Parcel Boundary Shapefile	10	0.5	5
Traffic control	10	0.1	1
Sanitary Collection System	10	0.1	1
Storm Collection System	10	0.1	52 1

How do we use security categorization to select security controls?





Agenda

- ✓ Information Systems some definitions
- ✓ Conceptual models of information systems
- ✓ NIST Risk Management Framework
- ✓ FIPS 199 Security Categorization
- ✓ Transforming qualitative risk assessment into quantitative risk assessment
- FedRAMP System Security Plan overview
 - NIST 800-53 Security controls
 - Role of FIPS 199 in selecting a security control baseline
 - NIST 800-18 classification system for security control families

System Security Plan (SSP)



FedRAMP = Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program

https://community.mis.temple.edu/ mis5214sec951spring2020/week-03/

55

Information System Security Plan (SSP)






TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INFORMAT	ION SYSTEM NAME/TITLE1
2.	INFORMAT	ION SYSTEM CATEGORIZATION1
	2.1.	Information Types
	2.2.	Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)
	2.3.	Digital Identity Determination
3.	INFORMAT	ION SYSTEM OWNER4
4.	AUTHORIZI	NG OFFICIALS4
5.	OTHER DES	IGNATED CONTACTS 4
6.	ASSIGNMEN	NT OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY5
7.	INFORMATI	ION SYSTEM OPERATIONAL STATUS6
8.	INFORMATI	ION SYSTEM TYPE
	8.1.	Cloud Service Models
	8.2.	Cloud Deployment Models
	8.3.	Leveraged Authorizations
9.	GENERAL ST	YSTEM DESCRIPTION9
	9.1.	System Function or Purpose
	9.2.	Information System Components and Boundaries
	9.3.	Types of Users
	9.4.	Network Architecture11
10.	SYSTEM EN	VIRONMENT AND INVENTORY12
	10.1.	Data Flow
	10.2.	Ports, Protocols and Services14
11.	SYSTEM INT	TERCONNECTIONS
12.	LAWS, REG	ULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE17
	12.1.	Applicable Laws and Regulations
	12.2.	Applicable Standards and Guidance17
13.	MINIMUM	SECURITY CONTROLS

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) HIGH BASELINE TEMPLATE

Cloud Service Provider Name

Information System Name

Version #

Version Date



CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION

Information System Security Plan (SSP)






TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INFORMATI	ON SYSTEM NAME/TITLE1
2.	INFORMATI	ON SYSTEM CATEGORIZATION1
	2.1.	Information Types
	2.2.	Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)
	2.3.	Digital Identity Determination
3.	INFORMATI	ON SYSTEM OWNER 4
4.	AUTHORIZI	NG OFFICIALS4
5.	OTHER DES	IGNATED CONTACTS 4
6.	ASSIGNMEN	NT OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY5
7.	INFORMATI	ON SYSTEM OPERATIONAL STATUS
8.	INFORMATI	ON SYSTEM TYPE7
	8.1.	Cloud Service Models7
	8.2.	Cloud Deployment Models
	8.3.	Leveraged Authorizations
9.	GENERAL SY	YSTEM DESCRIPTION9
	9.1.	System Function or Purpose
	9.2.	Information System Components and Boundaries
	9.3.	Types of Users
	9.4.	Network Architecture11
10.	SYSTEM EN	VIRONMENT AND INVENTORY12
	10.1.	Data Flow
	10.2.	Ports, Protocols and Services14
11.	SYSTEM INT	TERCONNECTIONS
12.	LAWS, REGI	ULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE17
	12.1.	Applicable Laws and Regulations
	12.2.	Applicable Standards and Guidance17
13.	MINIMUM	SECURITY CONTROLS 18

FIPS PUB 200

FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION

Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

March 2006




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Carlos M. Gutierrez, Secretary


NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY William Jeffrey, Director

FIPS 200 Minimum Security Control Requirements


- 1. Access Control (AC)
- 2. Awareness and Training (AT)
- 3. Audit and Accountability (AU)
- 4. Certification, Accreditation, and Security Assessment (CA)
- 5. Configuration Management (CM)
- 6. Contingency Planning
- 7. Identification and Authentication
- 8. Incident Response (IR)
- 9. Maintenance (MA)


- 10. Media Protection (MP)
- 11. Physical and Environmental Protection *PE)
- 12. Planning (PL)
- 13. Personal Security (PS)
- 14. Risk Assessment (RA)
- 15. System and Services Acquisition(SA)
- 16. System and Communications Protection (SC)
- 17. System and Information Integrity (SI)





How do we use FIPS 199 security categorization to select security controls?





NIST Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4

Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations

JOINT TASK FORCE TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

This publication is available free of charge from: http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r4

> National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

CNTL		PRIORITY	INITIA	L CONTROL BASE	ELINES
NO.	CONTROL NAME	PRIO	LOW	MOD	HIGH
	Awarenes	s and	Training		
AT-1	Security Awareness and Training Policy and Procedures	P1	AT-1	AT-1	AT-1
AT-2	Security Awareness Training	P1	AT-2	AT-2 (2)	AT-2 (2)
AT-3	Role-Based Security Training	P1	AT-3	AT-3	AT-3
AT-4	Security Training Records	P3	AT-4	AT-4	AT-4
AT-5	Withdrawn				
	Audit and	Accou	intability		
AU-1	Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures	P1	AU-1	AU-1	AU-1
AU-2	Audit Events	P1	AU-2	AU-2 (3)	AU-2 (3)
AU-3	Content of Audit Records	P1	AU-3	AU-3 (1)	AU-3 (1) (2)
AU-4	Audit Storage Capacity	P1	AU-4	AU-4	AU-4
AU-5	Response to Audit Processing Failures	P1	AU-5	AU-5	AU-5 (1) (2)
AU-6	Audit Review, Analysis, and Reporting	P1	AU-6	AU-6 (1) (3)	AU-6 (1) (3) (5) (6)
AU-7	Audit Reduction and Report Generation	P2	Not Selected	AU-7 (1)	AU-7 (1)
AU-8	Time Stamps	P1	AU-8	AU-8 (1)	AU-8 (1)
AU-9	Protection of Audit Information	P1	AU-9	AU-9 (4)	AU-9 (2) (3) (4)
AU-10	Non-repudiation	P2	Not Selected	Not Selected	AU-10
AU-11	Audit Record Retention	P3	AU-11	AU-11	AU-11
AU-12	Audit Generation	P1	AU-12	AU-12	AU-12 (1) (3)
AU-13	Monitoring for Information Disclosure	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
AU-14	Session Audit	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
AU-15	Alternate Audit Capability	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
AU-16	Cross-Organizational Auditing	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
	Security Assessn	nent ar	nd Authorization		
CA-1	Security Assessment and Authorization Policies and Procedures	P1	CA-1	CA-1	CA-1
CA-2	Security Assessments	P2	CA-2	CA-2 (1)	CA-2 (1) (2)
CA-3	System Interconnections	P1	CA-3	CA-3 (5)	CA-3 (5)
CA-4	Withdrawn		-		
CA-5	Plan of Action and Milestones	P3	CA-5	CA-5	CA-5
CA-6	Security Authorization	P2	CA-6	CA-6	CA-6
CA-7	Continuous Monitoring	P2	CA-7	CA-7 (1)	CA-7 (1)
CA-8	Penetration Testing	P2	Not Selected	Not Selected	CA-8
CA-9	Internal System Connections	P2	CA-9	CA-9	CA-9
	Configurati	on Ma	nagement		
CM-1	Configuration Management Policy and Procedures	P1	CM-1	CM-1	CM-1
CM-2	Baseline Configuration	P1	CM-2	CM-2 (1) (3) (7)	CM-2 (1) (2) (3) (7)
CM-3	Configuration Change Control	P1	Not Selected	CM-3 (2)	CM-3 (1) (2)
CM-4	Security Impact Analysis	P2	CM-4	CM-4	CM-4 (1)
CM-5	Access Restrictions for Change	P1	Not Selected	CM-5	CM-5 (1) (2) (3)

									CNT						È		INITIA	L CO	NTROL BASE	LINES
									NO.			CONTI	ROLI	NAME	PRIOF		LOW		MOD	HIGH
									SC-2		in Nodes				P0		Selected		ot Selected	Not Selected
									SC-2		neypots				P0		Selected		ot Selected	Not Selected
									SC-2					olications	P0		Selected	No	st Selected SC-28	Not Selected SC-28
									80-2	8 Pri	otection	of Inform	ation a	at Rest	PI	No	Selected	_	SC-28	Not Selected
							ONTL						È	INITIA	CONTRO	L BAS	ELINES		Selected	Not Selected
							NO.		CONTR	ROL NA	AME		PRIOR					=	Selected	Not Selected
													_	LOW	МО	_	HIGH		Selected	Not Selected
							A-10 A-11	Developer	Configura	ation Ma	nagemen		P1	Not Selected	SA-		SA-10 SA-11		-	-
							A-11 A-12	Developer Supply Ch			and Evalu	ation	P1	Not Selected Not Selected	Not Sel		SA-11 SA-12	_	Selected	Not Selected
								Trustworth		non			PO	Not Selected	Not Sel		Not Selec	ted	: Selected	Not Selected
														SELINES	ot Sel		Not Selec		Selected	Not Selected
				CNTL NO	CONT	rroi	NAME		PRIORT		INITIAL	CONTR	IOL BA	SELINES	ot Sel	ected	SA-15		Selected	Not Selected
				NU.	-				8	LO	w	M	OD	HIGH	ot Sel	noted	SA-18		Selected	Not Selected
				PE-17	Alternate Work Sit	e			P2	Not Se	elected	PE	-17	PE-17	ot Sel		SA-17		SC-39	SC-39
				PE-18	Location of Inform	ation S	ystem C	omponents	P3	Not Se	elected	Not Si	elected	PE-18	ot Sel	ected	Not Selec		Selected Selected	Not Selected Not Selected
				PE-19	Information Leaka				P0	Not Se			elected				Not Selec		Selected	Not Selected Not Selected
			_	PE-20	Asset Monitoring	and Tra	cking		Planning	Not Se	elected	Not Si	elected	Not Selected	ot Sel	ected	Not Selec	ted	Selected	Not Selected Not Selected
									_				1.	PL-1	ot Sel	ected	Not Selec	ted	Selected	Not Selected
		CNTL				YE A		INITIAL	CONTRO	L BASI	ELINES		(3)	PL-1	ot Sel	ected	Not Selec	ted	Lecond	
		NO.	CONT	TROL N	IAME	PRIORTY		ow	мо	D	н	вн							SI-1	SI-1
		IR-3	Incident December			P2		Selected	IR-3		IR-		(1)	PL-4 (1)	SC-	1	SC-1			
		IR-3	Incident Response Incident Handling	resting		P2 P1		ielected R-4	IR-3		IR-4			_	SC-	2	SC-2		31-2 (2)	SI-2 (1) (2)
		IR-5	Incident Monitoring	a		P1		R-5	IR-		IR-		١		ot Sel		SC-3		-3 (1) (2)	SI-3 (1) (2)
		IR-8	Incident Reporting	,		P1	_	R-8	IR-8	(1)	IR-	1(1)	ected	Not Selected	SC-		SC-4		(2) (4) (5)	SI-4 (2) (4) (5)
					BUTTA.		2001 01	ASELINES)	IR-	(1)	ected		SC-		SC-5		SI-5	SI-5 (1)
CNTL		ONTROL	NAME	PRIORITY	INITIA	CON	KUL BA	ASELINES				-8	-	Hot conscio	ot Sel		Not Selec		Selected	SI-6
NO.	Ĭ			8	LOW	1	MOD	н	IGH	ted	Not S		-1	PS-1	;-7 (3) (7)		SC-7 (3) (4 (7) (8) (18)		-7 (1) (7)	SI-7 (1) (2) (5) (7) (14)
CM-6	Configuration	Settings		P1	CM-8		CM-6	CM-6	(1)(2)	ted	Not S	elected	-2	PS-2	SC-8	(1)	SC-8 (1)	-8 (1) (2)	SI-8 (1) (2)
	Least Function			P1	CM-7		(1) (2) (1) (2) (5)				-3	PS-3				_		
CM-8	Information S	system Cor	nponent Inventory	P1	CM-8	CM-8	(1) (3) ((1) (2) (3)) (5)			A-1	4	PS-4 (2) PS-5	SC-		SC-10 Not Selec		SI-10	SI-10
CM-9	Configuration	Managem	ent Plan	P1	Not Selected		CM-9		M-9	-		2 (2)	8	PS-6	SC		SC-12 (SI-11	SI-11
CM-10	Software Usa	age Restric		P2	CM-10		M-10		M-10	(2)		(2) (3)	.7	PS-7					SI-12	SI-12 Not Selected
CM-11	User-Installe	d Software		P1	CM-11	(M-11	CI	W-11	-		5 (1)	-8	PS-8	SC-	13	SC-13		Selected Selected	Not Selected Not Selected
CP-1	Contingency	Otennian O		ngency P	CP-1		CP-1		P-1	-	M	4-6	_		SC-	15	SC-15		Selected	Not Selected
	Procedures		oncy and							_			-1	RA-1 RA-2	ot Sel	ected	Not Selec	ted	SI-16	SI-16
CP-2	Contingency	Plan		P1	CP-2	CP-2	(1) (3) (1	B) CP-2 (1) (2) (3) (5) (8)	_	M	9-1	3	RA-3	SC-		SC-17		Selected	Not Selected
CP-3	Contingency	Training		P2	CP-3		CP-3		-3 (1)	1—		0.3	1	_	SC-		SC-18 SC-19			
CP-4	Contingency		19	P2	CP-4	С	P-4 (1)	CP-4	(1) (2)	1—	M		(2) (5) RA-5 (1) (2) (4) SC-		SC-19 SC-20	_		
	Withdrawn			 P1				_		4)	MP-		ected	(5) Not Selected						
CP-8 CP-7	Alternate Sto Alternate Pro			P1	Not Selected Not Selected		8 (1) (3) (1) (2) (1) (2) (3)) (2) (3)	-	THE DESCRIPTION	SC-	21	SC-21			
									(4)	1) sted	MP- Not Si	7 (1)	-		SC-	22	SC-22			
CP-8	Telecommun	ications Se	rvices	P1	Not Selected	CP	8 (1) (2)	CP-8 (1) (2) (3) (4)	ited	not Si	- Access	11	SA-1	SC-S	22	SC-23			
CP-9	Information S	ystem Bac	kup	P1	CP-9	С	P-9 (1)	CP-9 (1) (2) (3) (5)		PI	-1	-2	SA-2	ot Sel		SC-23 SC-24			
CP-10	Information S	vstem Rec	overy and	P1	CP-10	CF	-10 (2)		0 (2) (4)	1-	Pi	.0	(2) (9	SA-3 () SA-4 (1) (2) (1						
	Reconstitutio	ń					(=)			_		3 (1)	(2) (0	(10)	"					
	Alternate Cor Safe Mode	mmunicatio	ns Protocols	P0 P0	Not Selected Not Selected		Selected Selected		elected elected			-4	-5	SA-5						
			PO	Not Selected Not Selected		Selected		elected	1		-5	-								
			Identificatio							1)	PE-8	(1) (4)	.8	SA-8						
IA-1	Identification Procedures	and Authe	ntication Policy and	P1	IA-1		IA-1	L	A-1			8 (1)	(2)	SA-9 (2)						
IA-2	Identification	and Authe	ntication	P1	IA-2 (1) (12)	IA-2	(1) (2) (3) IA-2 (1) (2) (3)		PI									
	(Organization	nal Users)				(8)	11) (12)	(4) (8)	(9) (11) 12)	1		-10	1							
IA-3	Device Identi	fication and	d Authentication	P1	Not Selected		IA-3		A-3	1	PE-	1 (1)	1							
IA-4	Identifier Mar			P1	IA-4		IA-4		A-4	3)	PE-13		1							
IA-5	Authenticator	r Managem	ent	P1	IA-5 (1) (11)	IA-5	(1) (2) (3 (11)) IA-5 (1) (2) (3) 11)	-	(3)	1							
	Authenticator	r Feedback		P2	IA-6		IA-6	i	A-6	1-	PE		1							
IA-7	Cryptographi	o Module A	uthentication	P1	IA-7		IA-7		A-7	1	PE-		1							
IA-8	Identification Organization	and Auther al Users)	ntication (Non-	P1	IA-8 (1) (2) (3) (4)	IA-8	(1) (2) (3 (4)) IA-8 (1) (2) (3) (4)	-	-		_							
IA-9			d Authentication	P0	Not Selected	Not	Selected		elected	1										
IA-10			nd Authentication	PO	Not Selected		Selected		elected	1										
IA-11	Re-authentic	ation	1	P0 dent Resi	Not Selected	Not	Selected	Not S	elected	-										
IR-1	Incident Resi	ponse Polic	y and Procedures	P1	ponse IR-1		IR-1		R-1	1										
	Incident Res			P2	IR-2		IR-2		(1) (2)	1										
				-																

TABLE D-2: SECURITY CONTROL BASELINES 32

CNTL		PRIORITY	INITIAL CONTROL BASELINES					
NO.	CONTROL NAME	PRIO	LOW	MOD	HIGH			
	Acc	ess Con	trol					
AC-1	Access Control Policy and Procedures	P1	AC-1	AC-1	AC-1			
AC-2	Account Management	P1	AC-2	AC-2 (1) (2) (3) (4)	AC-2 (1) (2) (3 (4) (5) (11) (12 (13)			
AC-3	Access Enforcement	P1	AC-3	AC-3	AC-3			
AC-4	Information Flow Enforcement	P1	Not Selected	AC-4	AC-4			
AC-5	Separation of Duties	P1	Not Selected	AC-5	AC-5			
AC-6	Least Privilege	P1	Not Selected	AC-6 (1) (2) (5) (9) (10)	AC-6 (1) (2) (3 (5) (9) (10)			
AC-7	Unsuccessful Logon Attempts	P2	AC-7	AC-7	AC-7			
AC-8	System Use Notification	P1	AC-8	AC-8	AC-8			
AC-9	Previous Logon (Access) Notification	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected			
AC-10	Concurrent Session Control	P3	Not Selected	Not Selected	AC-10			
AC-11	Session Lock	P3	Not Selected	AC-11 (1)	AC-11 (1)			
AC-12	Session Termination	P2	Not Selected	AC-12	AC-12			
AC-13	Withdrawn							
AC-14	Permitted Actions without Identification or Authentication	P3	AC-14	AC-14	AC-14			
AC-15	Withdrawn							
AC-16	Security Attributes	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected			
AC-17	Remote Access	P1	AC-17	AC-17 (1) (2) (3) (4)	AC-17 (1) (2) (3) (4)			
AC-18	Wireless Access	P1	AC-18	AC-18 (1)	AC-18 (1) (4) (5)			
AC-19	Access Control for Mobile Devices	P1	AC-19	AC-19 (5)	AC-19 (5)			
AC-20	Use of External Information Systems	P1	AC-20	AC-20 (1) (2)	AC-20 (1) (2)			
AC-21	Information Sharing	P2	Not Selected	AC-21	AC-21			
AC-22	Publicly Accessible Content	P3	AC-22	AC-22	AC-22			
AC-23	Data Mining Protection	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected			
AC-24	Access Control Decisions	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected			
AC-25	Reference Monitor	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected			

| | | | CNTL | | CNTL
NO.
PE-17
PE-18
PE-19
PE-20 | Locatio | | rroi | SA-11
SA-12 | Develope | CONTR
er Configura
er Security T
hain Protect | 5 Th 6 Ho 7 Pla 8 Pn 6 OL NA tion Ma Festing : | | nt Applation at | ications Rest INITIAL LOW Not Selected Not Selected | PO PO P1 CONTRO | Not
Not
Not
Not
DE BASE | Selected | MOD Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected SC-28 Selected Selected Selected Selected Selected Selected Selected Selected Selected | HIGH Not Selected Not Selected SC-28 Not Selected Not Selected | |
|--|--|---|--
--|---|--
--
---|---|--|---
--
--|--|---|--|---|--|--
--|--|---|---|
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | NO.
SA-10
SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | SC-2
SC-2
SC-2
CONTE
 | B Ho Pla B Pn OL NA tion Ma Testing
 | neypots tform-Independe stection of Inform ME | Ation at | INITIAL LOW Not Selected Not Selected | P0
P0
P0
P1
CONTRO | Not
Not
Not
Not
DE BASE | Selected SA-10 SA-11 | iot Selected
iot Selected
iot Selected
SC-28
Selected
Selected
Selected
Selected
Selected | Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected SC-28 Not Selected |
 |
			CNTL		PE-17 PE-18 PE-19	Locatio		rroi	NO. SA-10 SA-11 SA-12 SA-13	Develope Supply Cl	SC-2 SC-2 SC-2 CONTE	B Ho Pla B Pn OL NA tion Ma Testing	neypots tform-Independe stection of Inform ME	Ation at	INITIAL LOW Not Selected Not Selected	P0 P0 P1 CONTRO	Not Not Not D	Selected I Selected I	Not Selected Not Selected SC-28 Selected Selected Selected Selected	Not Selected Not Selected SC-28 Not Selected	
			CNTL		PE-17 PE-18 PE-19	Locatio		rroi	NO. SA-10 SA-11 SA-12 SA-13	Develope Supply Cl	CONTR er Configura er Security 1 hain Protec	OL NA	ME	Ation at	INITIAL LOW Not Selected Not Selected	P1 CONTRO MO SA-	Not BASE D	ELINES HIGH SA-10 SA-11	SC-28 Selected Sel	SC-28 Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected	
			CNTL																		
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | NO.
SA-10
SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | CONTR
er Configura
er Security T
hain Protect
 | OL NA
 | ME
lagement | PRIORITY PL | LOW Not Selected Not Selected | CONTRO
MO
SA- | D 10 | HIGH
SA-10
SA-11 | : Selected
: Selected
: Selected
: Selected | Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected | -
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | NO.
SA-10
SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | er Configura
er Security i
hain Protec
 | tion Ma
esting a
 | agement | P1
P1 | LOW Not Selected Not Selected | MO
SA- | D
10 | HIGH
SA-10
SA-11 | : Selected
: Selected
: Selected | Not Selected
Not Selected
Not Selected

Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | NO.
SA-10
SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | er Configura
er Security i
hain Protec
 | tion Ma
esting a
 | agement | P1
P1 | Not Selected
Not Selected | SA- | 10 | SA-10
SA-11 | Selected
Selected | Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected | -
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | er Security T
hain Protec
 | esting a
 | nagement
nd Evaluation | P1
P1 | Not Selected
Not Selected | SA- | 10 | SA-10
SA-11 | | Not Selected | -
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | SA-11
SA-12
SA-13 | Develope
Supply Cl | er Security T
hain Protec
 | esting a
 | nd Evaluation | P1 | Not Selected | | | SA-11 | Colonted | |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rROI | SA-12
SA-13 | Supply Cl | hain Protec
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | | Trustwort | thiness
 |
 | | P1 | Not Selected | Not Sel | | SA-12 | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
			CNTL
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | rroi | NAME | |
 |
 | | P0 | Not Selected | Not Sel | | Not Selected
Not Selected | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-17
PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | RO | | | TIBIL
 |
 | INITIAL CONTR | OL BAS | ELINES | ot Sel | | SA-15 | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | PE-18
PE-19 | Locatio |
 | | - 1070112 | | PRIK
 | LO
 | w Mo | OD | HIGH | ot Sel | noted | SA-16 | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | [| CNTL |
 | PE-19 | Locatio | te Work Sit
 | | | | P2
 | Not Se
 | | | PE-17 | ot Sel | | SA-17 | SC-39
Selected | SC-39
Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | | | n of Inform
 | ation | System Co | mponents | s P3
 | Not Se
 | | | PE-18 | ot Sel | | Not Selected | Selected | Not Selected
Not Selected |
 |
| | | [| CNTL |
 | 1 2 20 | |
 | | acking | | P0
P0
 |
 | lected Not Se
lected Not Se | lected | Not Selected
Not Selected | | | Not Selected
Not Selected | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | | 1100011 | nonnoning (
 | | downing | | Planning
 | 100.00
 | | coico | THE OCCUPANT | | | | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | | CNTL |
 | | |
 | 2 | | INITIA | L CONTRO
 | L BASI
 | LINES | 4 | PL-1 | ot Sel | | Not Selected
Not Selected | Selected | Not Selected | _
 |
| [| | | NU. | col
 | NTROL | NAME |
 | PRIORITY | - | |
 |
 | | (3) | PL-2 (3) | oc sei | ecteu | Not deletied | SI-1 | SI-1 |
 |
| [| | | |
 | | |
 | | | OW | MO
 |
 | HIGH | (1) | PL-4 (1) | sc- | 1 | SC-1 | 51-1 | 51-1 |
 |
| | | | IR-3
IR-4 | Incident Respor
 | ise Testin | g |
 | P2
P1 | | lelected
R-4 | IR-3
 |
 | IR-3 (2)
IR-4 (1) (4) | | | sc- | 2 | SC-2 | 31-2 (2) | SI-2 (1) (2) |
 |
| | | | IR-5 | Incident Monitor
 | | |
 | P1 | | R-5 | IR-
 |
 | IR-5 (1) | ected | Not Selected | ot Sel | ected | SC-3 | -3 (1) (2) | SI-3 (1) (2) |
 |
| | | | IR-6 | Incident Reporti
 | ng | |
 | P1 | - 18 | R-6 | IR-6
 | (1)
 | IR-6 (1) | 8 | PL-8 | SC- | | SC-4
SC-5 | (2) (4) (5)
SI-5 | SI-4 (2) (4) (5)
SI-5 (1) | -
 |
| | CNTL | | |
 | E | | INITIA
 | L COI | NTROL BA | ASELINES |
 |)
 | IR-7 (1)
IR-8 | ected | Not Selected | ot Sel | | Not Selected | Selected | SI-6 | -
 |
| | NO. | CC | ONTRO | L NAME
 | PRIORTY | Н. | LOW
 | | MOD | т. | HIGH
 | ted
 | Not Selected | | T | -7 (3) | (4) (5) | SC-7 (3) (4) (5) | -7 (1) (7) | SI-7 (1) (2) (5) |
 |
| | CM-6 | Conferentian | Cattlean |
 | P1 | | CM-6
 | | CM-6 | | H8 (1) (2)
 | ted
 | Not Selected | 2 | PS-1
PS-2 | (7)
SC-8 | | (7) (8) (18) (21)
SC-8 (1) | -8 (1) (2) | (7) (14)
SI-8 (1) (2) | -
 |
| | CM-7 | Configuration :
Least Function | ality |
 | P1 | | M-7
 | CM | -7 (1) (2) (4 | 4) CM-7 | (1) (2) (5)
 |
 | | -3 | PS-3 | | | | | |
 |
| Г | CM-8 | Information Sy | stem Co | mponent inventory
 | P1 | | CM-8
 | CM | -8 (1) (3) (5 | 5) CM-8 | (1) (2) (3)
(4) (5)
 |
 | MA-1 | 4 | PS-4 (2)
PS-5 | SC- | | SC-10
Not Selected | SI-10 | SI-10 |
 |
| | | | | nurra.
 | . cour | ROL BASI | EL INICA
 | | N-9 | - | CM-9
 | (2)
 | MA-2 (2)
MA-3 (1) (2) (3) | 8 | PS-6 | SC- | | SC-12 (1) | SI-11 | SI-11 |
 |
| CONT | ROL NA | ME | PRIORTY | INITIA
 | LCONII | KOL BASI | ELINES
 | | 1-10 | | OM-10
 | 2)
 | MA-4 (2) (3) | .7 | PS-7 | SC- | 12 | SC-13 | SI-12
Selected | SI-12
Not Selected |
 |
| | | | 8 | LOW
 | М | IOD | HIG
 | н | 1411 | | CM-11
 |
 | MA-5 (1) | -8 | PS-8 | 30- | 13 | 50-15 | Selected | Not Selected |
 |
| | | | |
 | | |
 | | 2-1 | Τ. | CP-1
 | _
 | MA-8 | .1 | RA-1 | SC- | | SC-15 | : Selected | Not Selected | •
 |
| ecurity Awarenes:
rocedures | s and Tra | ning Policy and | P1 | AT-1
 | A | T-1 | AT-
 | 1 | 0.730.05 | CP-2 | (1) (2) (3)
 | -
 | MP-1 | -2 | RA-2 | | | | SI-16 | SI-16 |
 |
| | | | P1 | AT-2
 | | |
 | | | (4) |) (5) (8)
 |
 | MP-2 | -3 | RA-3 | | | SC-17
SC-18 | Selected | Not Selected | _
 |
| | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
 | | (2) (5) | RA-5 (1) (2) (4) | | | SC-19 | | |
 |
ithdrawn			
 | | |
 | | | |
 | ş)
 | MP-5 (4) | _ | (5) | SC- | 20 | SC-20 | | |
 |
| | | | |
 | | |
 | _ | | CP-6 | (1) (2) (3)
 |
 | MP-6 (1) (2) (3) | ected | Not Selected | SC- | 21 | SC-21 | | |
 |
rocedures	ibility Poli	by and	
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | | | | SC- | 22 | SC-22 | | |
 |
| udit Events | | | |
 | | |
 | | (1) (2) | CP-8 | (1) (2) (3)
(4)
 | AEU
 | NOT SELECTED | _ | | 804 | 23 | 90-23 | + | |
 |
| | | | P1 | AU-4
 | | |
 | | 9 (1) | CP-9 | (1) (2) (3)
 |
 | PE-1 | .2 | | | | SC-24 | | |
 |
| esponse to Audit | Processir | | P1 | AU-5
 | | |
 | | 10 (2) | |
 | _
 | PE-2 | (2) (9) | |) | | | | |
 |
| udit Review, Anal | ysis, and | Reporting | P1 | AU-6
 | AU-6 | (1) (3) | AU-6 (1)
 | (3) (5 | | Not | Calacted
 |
 | PE-3 (1) |) | (10) | | | | | |
 |
| | d Report | 3eneration | P2 | Not Selected
 | | |
 | | | |
 | <u> </u>
 | | - | | - | | | | |
 |
| me Stamps | | | |
 | | |
 | | elected | Not | Selected
 | 0
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| on-repudiation | morna. | | P2 | Not Selected
 | | |
 | | | $\overline{}$ | 14.1
 |
 | | -8 | | - | | | | |
 |
| | tion | | P3 | AU-11
 | | |
 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
 | | (2) | 5A-9 (2) | | | | | |
 |
| udit Generation | mation Di | closure | |
 | | |
 | | 11 (12) | (4) (F | (1) (2) (3)
8) (9) (11)
 |
 | PE-10 | | | | | | | |
 |
| ession Audit | nation Di | 2002010 | PO | Not Selected
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | PE-11 (1) | | | | | | | |
 |
| ternate Audit Cap | ability | | PO | Not Selected
 | | |
 | | 1-4 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| ross-Organization | | | |
 | Not S | elected | Not Sel
 | ected |) (2) (3 | | (1) (2) (3)
(11)
 | 3)
 | (3) | | | | | | | |
 |
| ecurity Assessme | nt and Au | | P1 | CA-1
 | С | A-1 | CA
 | 1 | 1-8 | | IA-6
 | -
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| | | | P2 | C4-2
 | 04 | -2 (1) | C4-24
 | 1) (2) | L-7 | |
 |
 | PE-16 | | | | | | | |
 |
| | | | P1 | CA-3
 | | |
 | | 4) | | (4)
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
ithdrawn			
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
		5	
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| ontinuous Monitor | ring | | P2 | CA-6
 | | | CA-7
 | (1) | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| enetration Testing | | | P2 | Not Selected
 | Not S | elected | CA-
 | 8 | 1-1 | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| ternal System Co | nnections | Conflorer | |
 | С | A-9 | CA-
 | 9 | 142 | IR- | 2 (1) (2)
 | 1
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| onfiguration Mana | gement f | | P1 | CM-1
 | С | M-1 | CM
 | -1 | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| rocedures | | | D1 | CM-2
 | CM-2 | 1) (2) (7) | CM-2 (1)
 | (2) (2 | 1) | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
-			
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
		N	
 | | |
 | | - | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
		ne	P1
 | | |
 | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| roccooling to the cooling to the coo | selections with Assessment of the Section of the Se | sections try Americans Training of the Market Management of the Market | unif Austraness and Training Policy and electrics 100 August 200 | unif Austraness and Training Policy and Pf- scholders unif Austraness Training Policy and Pf- scholders unif Austraness Training Princip Princip Records Australia Princip Records Australia Princip Records Australia Princip Records Australia Princip Records Australia Princip Records Records Princip Records Records Princip Records Records Princip Records Rec | Section Part AT-2 | units Austreams and Training Policy and P I AT-1 A section unity Maximum Straining P I AT-2 AT unity Maximum Straining P I AT-2 AT A In Town P I AT-2 AT A In Town P I AT-2 AT A In Town P I AT-1 A A In Town P I AT-1 A A In American Strain P I AT-1 A A In American American Strain P I AT-1 A A In American American Strain P I AT-1 A A In American American Strain P I AT-1 A A In American American Strain P I AT-1 A A In American Strain P I AT-1 A | unity Austreams and Training Policy and
Behalm P.1 AT-1 AT-1 unity Austreams Training P.1 AT-2 AT-2 AT-2 AT-3 AT-3 <td>uniformation P1 AT-1 AT-2 AT-2</td> <td>with Automotions and Training Policy and Pt AT-1</td> <td>urb Auseness and Training Policy and Pf</td> <td>Uniformation P1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 OD-16 <th< td=""><td>uniform prices and Tening Policy and P A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 (1) </td><td>uniform Analysis and Tening Policy and P</td><td>uniform Assessment Training Policy and P I AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1</td><td> April</td><td> With Automatics and Tombring Policy and P1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-2 AT</td><td> Applications and Toming Policy and Price Act Art Art </td><td> Application</td><td> Applications and Teaching Policy and Price Policy and Expensions Straining Price Act Art A</td><td> </td><td> Application Part Part </td></th<></td> | uniformation P1 AT-1 AT-2 AT-2 | with Automotions and Training Policy and Pt AT-1 | urb Auseness and Training Policy and Pf | Uniformation P1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 OD-16 OD-16 <th< td=""><td>uniform prices and Tening Policy and P A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 (1) </td><td>uniform Analysis and Tening Policy and P</td><td>uniform Assessment Training Policy and P I AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1</td><td> April</td><td> With Automatics and Tombring Policy and P1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-2 AT</td><td> Applications and Toming Policy and Price Act Art Art </td><td> Application</td><td> Applications and Teaching Policy and Price Policy and Expensions Straining Price Act Art A</td><td> </td><td> Application Part Part </td></th<> | uniform prices and Tening Policy and P A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 A-1 (1) | uniform Analysis and Tening Policy and P | uniform Assessment Training Policy and P I AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1 | April | With Automatics and Tombring Policy and P1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-1 AT-2 AT | Applications and Toming Policy and Price Act Art Art | Application | Applications and Teaching Policy and Price Policy and Expensions Straining Price Act Art A | | Application Part Part |

Control Family: Access Control

How many access controls are relevant to the web-based system you began designing for managing the data of public utilities for the small town?

NIST Special Publication 800-53

Revision 4

Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations

JOINT TASK FORCE TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

This publication is available free of charge from: http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST-SP-800-53r4



NIST 800-53 Controls are presented alphabetically

- Access Control (AC)
- 2. Awareness and Training (AT)
- 3. Audit and Accountability (AU)
- 4. Certification, Accreditation, and Security Assessment (CA)
- 5. Configuration Management (CM)
- 6. Contingency Planning
- 7. Identification and Authentication
- 8. Incident Response (IR)
- 9. Maintenance (MA)

- 10. Media Protection (MP)
- 11. Physical and Environmental Protection *PE)
- 12. Planning (PL)
- 13. Personal Security (PS)
- 14. Risk Assessment (RA)
- 15. System and Services Acquisition(SA)
- 16. System and Communications Protection (SC)
- 17. System and Information Integrity (SI)

NIST 800-53 Controls are grouped by "Class"

NIST Special Publication 800-18 Revision 1

National Institute of

Standards and Technology
Technology Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce

Guide for Developing Security Plans for Federal Information Systems

Marianne Swanson Joan Hash Pauline Bowen

INFORMATION SECURITY

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

February 2006



U.S. Department of Commerce Carlos M.Gutierrez, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology William Jeffrey, Director

CLASS	FAMILY	IDENTIFIER
Management	Risk Assessment	RA
Management	Planning	PL
Management	System and Services Acquisition	SA
Management	Certification, Accreditation, and Security Assessments	CA
Operational	Personnel Security	PS
Operational	Physical and Environmental Protection	PE
Operational	Contingency Planning	CP
Operational	Configuration Management	CM
Operational	Maintenance	MA
Operational	System and Information Integrity	SI
Operational	Media Protection	MP
Operational	Incident Response	IR
Operational	Awareness and Training	AT
Technical	Identification and Authentication	IA
Technical	Access Control	AC
Technical	Audit and Accountability	AU
Technical	System and Communications Protection	SC

Table 2: Security Control Class, Family, and Identifier

Risk Assessment (RA) Controls

	Risk /	Assessi	ment		
RA-1	Risk Assessment Policy and Procedures	P1	RA-1	RA-1	RA-1
RA-2	Security Categorization	P1	RA-2	RA-2	RA-2
RA-3	Risk Assessment	P1	RA-3	RA-3	RA-3
RA-4	Withdrawn				
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning	P1	RA-5	RA-5 (1) (2) (5)	RA-5 (1) (2) (4) (5)
RA-6	Technical Surveillance Countermeasures Survey	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected

RA-1

RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Control: The organization:

 Develops, documents, and disseminates to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]:

RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Control: The organization:

- Develops, documents, and disseminates to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]:
 - A risk assessment policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
 - Procedures to facilitate the implementation of the risk assessment policy and associated risk assessment controls; and
- Reviews and updates the current:
 - 1. Risk assessment policy [Assignment: organization-defined frequency]; and
 - 2. Risk assessment procedures [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

pose, scope, roles, responsibilities, nong organizational entities, and compliance;

of the risk assessment policy and associated

nization-defined frequency]; and organization-defined frequency].

tablishment of policy and procedures for the Is and control enhancements in the RA family. s, Executive Orders, directives, regulations, policies and procedures at the organization s and procedures unnecessary. The policy can ity policy for organizations or conversely, can implex nature of certain organizations. The am in general and for particular information nent strategy is a key factor in establishing

policy and procedures. Related control: PM-9.

Control Enhancements: None.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-30, 800-100.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

P1 LOW RA-1 MOD RA-1 HIGH RA-1 69

SSP – Control Inventory Example

RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Control: The organization:

- Develops, documents, and disseminates to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]:
 - A risk assessment policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
 - Procedures to facilitate the implementation of the risk assessment policy and associated risk assessment controls; and
- b. Reviews and updates the current:
 - 1. Risk assessment policy [Assignment: organization-defined frequency]; and
 - 2. Risk assessment procedures [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

RA-I	Control Summary Information					
Responsible Role:						
Parameter RA-1(a):						
Parameter RA-1(b)(1):						
Parameter RA-1(b)	Parameter RA-1(b)(2):					
Implementation St	Implementation Status (check all that apply):					
☐ Implemented						
☐ Partially implem	☐ Partially implemented					
☐ Planned						
☐ Alternative imp	☐ Alternative implementation					
☐ Not applicable	□ Not applicable					
Control Origination	Control Origination (check all that apply):					
☐ Service Provide	☐ Service Provider Corporate					
☐ Service Provide	r System Specific					
☐ Service Provide	r Hybrid (Corporate and System Specific)					

	RA-I What is the solution and how is it implemented?
Part a	
Part b	

RA -2

Control: The organization:

- Categorizes information and the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- Documents the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for the information system; and

RA-2 SECURITY CATEGORIZATION

Control: The organization:

- Categorizes information and the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- Documents the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for the information system; and
- Ensures that the authorizing official or authorizing official designated representative reviews and approves the security categorization decision.

ntative reviews

for effective
e impacts to
information and
vailability
activity with
information
nizations also
ith the USA
national-level

development of inventories of information assets, and along with CM-8, mappings to specific information system components where information is processed, stored, or transmitted. Related controls: CM-8, MP-4, RA-3, SC-7.

Control Enhancements: None.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-30, 800-39, 800-60.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

P1	LOW RA-2	MOD RA-2	HIGH RA-2 71
----	----------	----------	--------------

SSP – Control Inventory Example

RA-2 SECURITY CATEGORIZATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Categorizes information and the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- b. Documents the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for the information system; and
- Ensures that the authorizing official or authorizing official designated representative reviews and approves the security categorization decision.

RA-2	Control Summary Information							
Responsible Role:								
Implementation St	Implementation Status (check all that apply):							
☐ Implemented								
☐ Partially implem	□ Partially implemented							
□ Planned								
☐ Alternative implementation								
☐ Not applicable	□ Not applicable							
Control Origination	(check all that apply):							
☐ Service Provider	☐ Service Provider Corporate							
☐ Service Provider	☐ Service Provider System Specific							
☐ Service Provider	☐ Service Provider Hybrid (Corporate and System Specific)							
☐ Configured by C	☐ Configured by Customer (Customer System Specific)							
☐ Provided by Cus	☐ Provided by Customer (Customer System Specific)							
☐ Shared (Service	Provider and Customer Responsibility)							
☐ Inherited from p	ore-existing FedRAMP Authorization for Click here to enter text. , Date of Authorization							

	RA-2 What is the solution and how is it implemented?				
Part a					
Part b					
Part c					

RA -3

Control: The organization:

- Conducts an assessment of risk, including the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores, or transmits;
- Documents risk assessment results in [Selection: security plan; risk assessment report; [Assignment: organization-defined document]];

RA-3 RISK ASSESSMENT

Control: The organization:

- a. Conducts an assessment of risk, including the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores, or transmits;
- Documents risk assessment results in [Selection: security plan; risk assessment report; [Assignment: organization-defined document]];
- Reviews risk assessment results [Assignment: organization-defined frequency];
- d. Disseminates risk assessment results to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]; and
- e. Updates the risk assessment [Assignment: organization-defined frequency] or whenever there are significant changes to the information system or environment of operation (including the identification of new threats and vulnerabilities), or other conditions that may impact the security state of the system.

Control Ennancements. INOIE.

References: OMB Memorandum 04-04; NIST Special Publications 800-30, 800-39;

Web: http://idmanagement.gov.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

P1 LOW RA-3 MOD RA-3 HIGH RA-3

r there ig the

ive

unt

on

rcing ition of c or

access

on

ilso be ecurity

ol must

t role

ice,

SSP – Control Inventory Example

RA-3 RISK ASSESSMENT

Control: The organization:

- Conducts an assessment of risk, including the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores, or transmits;
- Documents risk assessment results in [Selection: security plan; risk assessment report; [Assignment: organization-defined document]];
- c. Reviews risk assessment results [Assignment: organization-defined frequency];
- Disseminates risk assessment results to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]; and
- e. Updates the risk assessment [Assignment: organization-defined frequency] or whenever there are significant changes to the information system or environment of operation (including the identification of new threats and vulnerabilities), or other conditions that may impact the security state of the system.

RA-3	;	Control Summary Information			
Responsible	Role:				
Parameter F	ter RA-3(b):				
Parameter F	RA-3(c):				
Parameter F	RA-3(d):				
Parameter F	RA-3(e):				
-		atus (check all that apply):			
☐ Impleme	ented				
☐ Partially	implem	ented			
☐ Planned					
☐ Alternati	ive impl	ementation			
☐ Not appl	licable				
Control Orig	gination	(check all that apply):			
☐ Service F	Provider	Corporate			
☐ Service F	Provider	System Specific			
☐ Service F	Provider	Hybrid (Corporate and System Specific)			
☐ Configur	☐ Configured by Customer (Customer System Specific)				
□ Provided by Customer (Customer System Specific)					
☐ Shared (☐ Shared (Service Provider and Customer Responsibility)				
☐ Inherited	d from p	ore-existing FedRAMP Authorization for Click here to enter text. , Date of Authorization			
		RA-3 What is the solution and how is it implemented?			
Part a					
Part b					
Part c					
Part d					
Part e					

TABLE OF CONTENTS

System Security Plan based on RMF including FIPS 199, FIPS 200 and SP800-53...

1.	INFORMATION SYSTEM NAME/TITLE	14.	ACRONYMS		
2.	INFORMATION SYSTEM CATEGORIZATION	15.	5. ATTACHMENTS		
	2.1. Information Types		Attachment 1	Information	
	2.2. Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)		Attachment 2	User Guide .	
	2.2. Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)		Attachment 3	-	
3.	INFORMATION SYSTEM OWNER			ion and Purpo	
4.	AUTHORIZING OFFICIALS4			on System Nar	
5.	OTHER DESIGNATED CONTACTS 4		_	entity Level De laximum Poter	
6.	ASSIGNMENT OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY			entity Level Sel	
			Attachment 4		
7.	INFORMATION SYSTEM OPERATIONAL STATUS			verview and P	
8.	INFORMATION SYSTEM TYPE			licable Laws and	
	8.1. Cloud Service Models			licable Standard	
	8.2. Cloud Deployment Models			sonally Identifiab nreshold Analy	
	8.3. Leveraged Authorizations		,	lifving Questions	
9.	GENERAL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION9			ignation	
	9.1. System Function or Purpose		Attachment 5	Rules of Beh	
	9.2. Information System Components and Boundaries		Attachment 6	Information	
	9.3. Types of Users		Attachment 7	Configuratio	
	9.4. Network Architecture		Attachment 8	Incident Res	
10.	SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT AND INVENTORY		Attachment 9		
	10.1. Data Flow		Attachment 10		
	10.2. Ports, Protocols and Services			ion and Purpo:	
11.	SYSTEM INTERCONNECTIONS			408 escription	
12.	LAWS, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE		•	logy	
	12.1. Applicable Laws and Regulations		Attachment 11		
	12.2. Applicable Standards and Guidance		Attachment 12	-	
12	MINIMUM SECURITY CONTROLS		Attachment 13		
13.	WIIIVIIIIOWI SECONITI CONTROLS.				

4.	ACRONYMS		392
5.	ATTACHMENTS		393
	Attachment 1	Information Security Policies and Procedures	395
	Attachment 2	User Guide	396
	Attachment 3	Digital Identity Worksheet	397
	Introduction	on and Purpose	397
	Informatio	n System Name/Title	397
	Digital Ide	ntity Level Definitions	397
	Review Ma	aximum Potential Impact Levels	398
	Digital Ide	ntity Level Selection	399
	Attachment 4	PTA/PIA	400
	Privacy Ov	erview and Point of Contact (POC)	400
		cable Laws and Regulations	
		icable Standards and Guidance	
		onally Identifiable Information (PII)reshold Analysis	
		ifying Questions	
		gnation	
	Attachment 5	Rules of Behavior	403
	Attachment 6	Information System Contingency Plan	404
	Attachment 7	Configuration Management Plan	405
	Attachment 8	Incident Response Plan	406
	Attachment 9	CIS Workbook	407
	Attachment 10	FIPS 199	408
	Introduction	on and Purpose	408
	Scope 4	08	
	System De	scription	408
	Methodolo	ogy	409
	Attachment 11	Separation of Duties Matrix	411
	Attachment 12	FedRAMP Laws and Regulations	412
	Attachment 13	FedRAMP Inventory Workbook	413

SSP Contains & Documents the status of the System's Control Inventory

	Control Summary Information				
Responsible Role:	Responsible Role:				
Implementation S	Implementation Status (check all that apply):				
☐ Implemented	☐ Implemented				
☐ Partially imple	☐ Partially implemented				
□ Planned					
☐ Alternative implementation					
☐ Not applicable	□ Not applicable				

Control Class	Control Family	FedRamp	Implemented	Partial	Planned	Alternate	NA	System
Management	Risk Assessment	10	2	5	1	2	1	11
Management	Planning	6	1	2	1			4
Management	System & Service Acquisition	22						0
Management	Security Assessments & Authorization	15				1		1
Technical	Identification & Authentication	27	9	3	8		9	29
Technical	Access Control	43	4	3	28	1	13	49
Technical	Audit & Accountability	19	1	3	13		4	21
Technical	System & Communication Protection	32	17	8	9	1	5	40
Operational	Personnel Security	9	6	1			2	9
Operational	Physical & Environmental Protection	20					19	19
Operational	Contingency Planning	24	1	2	24			27
Operational	Configuration Management	26	8	6	11		5	30
Operational	Maintenance	11						0
Operational	System & Information Integrity	28		5	16		8	33
Operational	Media Protection	10	2				3	5
Operational	Incident Response	18						0
Operational	Awareness & Training	5			5			5
	Total:	325	55	38	116	5	69	283

Agenda

- √ Threat Modeling Exercise
- ✓ Information Systems some definitions
- ✓ Conceptual models of information systems
- ✓ NIST Risk Management Framework
- √ FIPS 199 Security Categorization
- ✓ Transforming qualitative risk assessment into quantitative risk assessment
- √ FedRAMP System Security Plan overview
 - ✓ NIST 800-53 Security controls
 - ✓ Role of FIPS 199 in selecting a security control baseline
 - ✓ NIST 800-18 classification of security control families