Domain 6: Security Assessment & Testing

MIS-5903

https://community.mis.temple.edu/mis5903sec711summer2021/

1

Need for Assessment & Testing

- Controls can be applied inconsistently.
- Controls can be misconfigured.
- Controls can be tampered with.
- Controls can become less effective over time.
 - Anti-malware only protects ~38% of attacks
 - Denial of Service; now Distributed Denial of Service
- New threats in the environment.

MISS903 - Domain 6

2

Tests, Assessments, Audits

- Security Tests verify that a control is functioning properly
- Security Assessments comprehensive reviews of security of a system, application, or other environment
 - Review of threat environment
 - Current and future risks
 - Value of target environment
- Security Audits must be performed by independent auditors
 - For demonstrating effectiveness of controls to a third party
 - Staff who design, implement, and monitor controls have inherent conflict of interest

MISS903 - Domain

3

	Security Tests	
	Scheduled periodically	
	 Availability of resources Criticality of systems and applications 	
	Sensitivity of information Likelihood of technical failure of control mechanism	
	Likelihood of misconfiguration Risk of attack	
	 Rate of change of control configuration Other changes in technical environment 	
	 Difficulty and time required to perform a control test Impact of test on normal business operations 	
	MISS983 - Domain 6 4	
4		
]
	Security Assessments	
	,	
	Comprehensive review Pick assessment identifies yulperabilities that may allow comprehise.	
	Risk assessment identifies vulnerabilities that may allow compromise Current and future risks	
	Nontechnical language Recommendations for improving security	
	May be internal, or outsourced (based on expertise)	
	NIST SP 800-53A is an example	
	MISS903 - Domain 6 5	
5		
	Security Audits	
	5 1 15 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Example – US Government Accountability Office (GAO) at request of Congress	
	 Internal audits – reporting line is independent of the functions evaluated 	
	External audits – performed by outside auditing firm	
	Third Party Audits – conducted by, or on behalf of, another organization	
	Regulatory / Contract	
	Initiator selects audit firm and scope	

Auditing Standards - AICPA	
 American Institute of Certified Public Accounts (AICPA) Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE 18) 	
 Type 1 – moment in time; does not involve actual testing of the controls Type 2 – minimum six-month time period; measures effectiveness of controls based 	d on
testing. • System and Organization Controls • SOCI – SOC for Service Organizations –	
 SOC2 – SOC for Service Organizations – includes Trust Services Criteria HITRUST 	
 CSA Star Attestation SOC3 – Trust Services Criteria for General Use Report – freely distributed 	
M55903 - Domain 6	,
7	
,	
Other Auditing Standards	
Control Objectives for Information and related Technologies (COBI	ш)
 Common requirements that organizations should have in place International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 	-
 27001 – Information Security Management System 27002 – Information Security Controls 	
M655903 - Domain 6	ss
8	
Information System Audits	
 Information System is a "a specific set of people, computers, processes, and information." 	
 Audits are a "systematic assessment of the security controls on a specific set of people, computers, processes, and information." 	
Vulnerability assessments and penetration tests are helpful, but no sufficient to truly assess our security posture.	ot

	1
Information System Security Audit Process	
Determine the goals	
Involve the right business unit leadersDetermine the scope (not everything is in scope)	
Choose the audit team (internal or external) Plan the audit	
Conduct the audit Document the results	
Communicate the results	
MI55903 - Donain 6 10	
]
Scope Considerations	
Which subnets and/or systems to test?Reviewing artifacts such as passwords, files, log entries?	
• Reviewing user behavior/response (e.g. social engineering)?	
Which information to assess?What are privacy implications of the audit?	
How will we evaluate processes, and to which extent?	
	-
MI59903 - Domain 6 11	
	_
Internal Audits	
iliterrial Audits	

Advantages

- Familiar with inner workings of organization
- Less time to results
- Team always available
- Can re-test
- Cost

Disadvantages

- May have limited exposure to approaches to securing or exploiting information systems
- Potential for conflicts
- Reluctance to report findings (cultural issue)
- Agendas to the audit

MISS903 - Domain


...

12

10

Vulnerability Scanning & Testing

- Written agreement required before starting
- Goals:
 - Evaluate the true security posture
 - Identify as many vulnerabilities
 Evaluation and prioritization
 - Potential elements that might be abused
- Testing ramifications identified



13

Types of testing

- Personnel social engineering
- Physical checking facility and perimeter protection mechanisms
- System and network testing Automated tools common
 - Network discovery scans

 - Network vulnerability scansWeb application vulnerability scans
 - Database vulnerability scans

14

Prior Knowledge

- Black Box no "a priori" knowledge of internal design
 - Due to lack of knowledge, may attack systems out of scope
- White Box prior complete knowledge
 Allows to target specific internal controls or features
- Gray Box some, but not all information on internal workings is provided
 - Allows a degree of realism



Vulnerability Scanning Capabilities:

- · Identify active hosts
- Identify active and vulnerable services (ports) on active hosts
- Identify applications and banner grabbing
- Identify operating systems in use
- Identify vulnerabilities in these operating systems and applications
- Identify misconfigured settings
- Test for compliance with security policies
- Establish the foundation for penetration testing

16

Describing Vulnerabilities

- Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) naming system
- Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) scoring
- Common Configuration Enumeration (CCE) naming for configuration
- Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) naming for operating systems, applications, devices
- Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) language for specifying security checklists
- Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language (OVAL) language for describing security testing procedures.

17

Vulnerability Management Workflow Detection • Validation (not a false positive) Remediation

Penetration Test Process	
Planning – scope of test and rules of er Information Gathering and Discovery port scans, resource identification Wardialing – modems, PBX	ngagement OG Goggi suardas 18005 Gleet opp.0. For Garden of General
Vulnerability scanning Exploitation	NO tenting (violent) No mistages (violent) (violent)
Reporting to Management	becombination explication of portraining special portraining speci
MISS903 - Domain 6	worms 19

Network Discovery Scans

- Common Techniques
 - TCP SYN sends single packet to each port with SYN, request to open connection. SYN & ACK response indicates port is open. (half-open scanning)

 - TCP ACK Sends packet with ACK; used to determine rules enforced by firewall(s)
 - XMAS Scanning sends packet with FIN, PSH, and URG. "lit up"

20

NMAP Responses

- Open port is open and accepting connections
- Closed allowed through firewall, accessible on remote system, but no applications on port
- Filtered unable to determine due to firewall interfering with connection

• Culture

22

Common Vulnerability Types

Online Safety – awareness of privacy settings.
 Once posted, cannot be easily retracted
 Drive-By Download – visit a malicious website

- Kernel Flaw operating system innermost component not patched
- Buffer overflows developer training, code scanners, enhanced programming libraries, "typed" languages that disallow buffer overflows.
- Symbolic Links ensure that the full path to files cannot be circumvented.
- File descriptor attacks (see buffer overflows)
- \bullet Race Conditions ensure temporary files cannot be read or written
- File and Directory Permissions supplement with file integrity checkers

55903	- Domain	6

...

Penetration Test Life Cycle Zero knowledge (black) no knowledge, must start from ground zero • Partial knowledge(gray) some, but not all information Full knowledge(white) intimate knowledge of target

25

Penetration Testing Methodologies

- OWASP
- https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Penetration_testing_methodologies
- Open Source Security Testing Methodology Manual (OSSTMM) http://www.isecom.org/research/
- NIST 800-115
- https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-115/final

FedRAMP Penetration Test Guidance https://www.fedramp.gov/penetration-testing-for-all-fedramp-moderate-and-high-systems/

• PCI-DSS Information Supplement

26

Monitoring • Real Use Monitoring From user's perspective Lacks predictability or regularity • Synthetic Transactions Scripted, consistent

		٦
	D	
	Preventing Log Tampering	
	Remote Logging	
	Simplex Communication (data diode) Paplication	
	Replication Write-Once Media	
	Cryptographic Hash Chaining	
	Mil55903 - Domain 6 28	1
28		
		7
	Auditing Administrative Controls	
	, tauting , tarrimotically a contract	
	Account Management Privileged accounts	
	Authentication (password, two-factor)	
	 Limited use of privileged accounts (RunAS, SuDo) Adding Accounts 	
	Acceptable Use Policy (AUP)	
	Modifying Accounts Privilege Accumulation	
	Suspending Accounts	
	MI55903 - Domain 6 29	
29		_
29		
		7
	Types of Data – Special Concerns	
		-
	 User Data Files – multiple backup copies Compliant with policies, regulations, laws 	
	Databases Test the database is operational, not just the file recovered	
	Mailbox	
	Facilitate e-discovery	
	MI55903 - Domain 6 30	
30		_

Technical Reporting				
• Threats				
 Vulnerabilities (exploitable) Probability / Likelihood				
Impact of ExploitationRecommended actions				
• Recommended actions				
	MISS903 - Domain 6	31		
01	MISSSUS - DOMain 6	31		
31				
Executive Summarie	es :			
• Return on Investment (ROI)			-	
Cost Approach – cost of acqu				
stream	contribution of the asset to revenu			
Market approach – determine asset	e how much other firms pay for a s	similar		
			-	
	MISS903 - Domain 6	32	-	
32				
Technical Audit Rep	ort			
Compelling for intended audiConclusions drawn directly fr				
Includes:Executive Summary – summar				
 Background – why audit was p Methodology – identify proces 				
 Findings Technical – Type of system Executive – Based on Business II 	mpact		-	
Recommendations Appendices – include raw data				

udy Do When and ho did we do it?

Key Performance / Key Risk Indicators (KPI/KRI)

- Terms:
 - Factor value that can change over time (e.g. alerts generated)
 Measurement value of factor at a point in time.

 - Baseline arbitrary value as a point of reference. (threshold)
 - Metric value generated compared against other values or baseline
 - Indicator interpretation of one or more metrics that describes effectiveness of an element of the ISMS
- KPI Performance of the ISMS
- KRI progress in regards to goals / risk appetite
 - SLE / ARO / ALE

35

Testing Data Backups

- Develop scenarios
- Develop a plan that tests all mission-critical data backups
- Leverage Automation (reduces effort, ensures tests occur periodically)
- Minimize business impact
- Ensure coverage (not necessarily in same test)
- Document the results
- Fix or Improve any issues

	1
Testing Recovery Plans	
Checklist Test (aka Desk Check test)	
Plans are distributed for review Structured Walk-Through Test	
Groups Meet to review. Verifies plan is complete. Tabletop Exercises – based on scenario. Verifies everyone knows role(s).	
Simulation Test – drill a specific scenario	
Parallel Test Some systems are copied to alternate site Results are compared with primary site	
Full Interruption test Primary site shut down	
MSS993 - Domain 6 37	
37	2
57	
]
Other Training	
Emergency Response	
• Fire Safety	
First Aid, CPR Technical training for support systems	
M55903 - Domain 6 38	
38	
	_
Next Steps	
Continue Discussion on Class Website	
• Questions?	
MISS93 - Domain 6 39	
39	